

## ITEM NO: 12 Appendix 1

### Progress Update 09/10 Southampton

1. Performance against National Indicators	Data 07/08 outturn (unless stated)	Data 08/09 Outturn	Family
NI 19: Proven rate of re-offending	Revised 05: 1.24 per 1000 after 3 quarters	08: 1.29 per 1000 after 3 quarters	08: 0.86 per 1000 after 3 quarters
Analysis	<p>Re-offending data suggests deterioration in re-offending performance (rate of 1.24 offences within the 2005 cohort). The Southampton area faces specific issues with higher levels of offence seriousness and a more entrenched culture of offending acceptance. There were also some minor gang related issues noted during a recent HMIP thematic inspection. However Southampton attracts significant funding such as YCAP thus a positive direction in reducing re-offending rates would be anticipated.</p> <p><u>NI 19</u> places a 4% annual incremental target upon Southampton (equating to 11.6% reduction overall) to reduce (thus improve) re-offending rates. This target is going to be very challenging and a strategy to both analyse the throughput, offending trends and the consequent improvement measures is required.</p> <p>This lack of positive movement coupled with the rate being well above (thus poorer) than family and wider comparisons, makes this area of performance a concern.</p>		
NI 111: First Time Entrants	Revised: 2722 per 100,000	Police Notice Computer (PNC) data not available	1702 (07/08)
Analysis	<p><i>Southampton PNC figures illustrate that FTE rates are significantly poorer than that of the Family. However, according to YOT data (which is being collected as a proxy for PNC) there has been a considerable improvement in decreasing FTEs during 08/09.*</i></p> <p><u>NI 111</u> places the standardised 2% incremental 3-year improvement target upon Southampton.</p> <p><i>*According to YOT/YJB data there has been a considerable improvement in reducing FTEs in 08/09 nationally, which may be due to the decline of the "Offences Brought To Justice" effect, and that improvements need to be seen in that context.</i></p>		
NI 43: Convicted young people sentenced to custody	7.9 %	8.2 %	4.6%
Analysis	<p>Custody has been issued on 82 occasions (8.2%). The 2007/08 outturn figures show 89 custodial sentences (7.9%) It is therefore important to note that actual numbers of young people receiving custody have reduced in line with the lower throughput for the unit. The rate however remains above all comparisons and further analysis of this trend is required. Importantly, the custody panel approach is being rolled out within the Service following some early indications of positive impact within the Hampshire unit.</p>		

NI 45: Engagement in suitable education, training or employment	Revised: 52.1 %	61.4 %	70.9 %
Analysis	There are significant improvements within Southampton for ETE engagement for post 16 year olds when compared to 2007/08 and against the Family comparison (in part attributed to improvements in data cleaning and quality). This remains a priority area for Southampton and the wider YOT partnership as Southampton is the poorest performer within Wessex YOT. The effects of economic down-turn are currently being researched.		
NI 46: Access to suitable accommodation	78.0%	87.8 %	93.6 %
Analysis	<p>There is has been a marked improvement in accommodation performance, placing Southampton closer to Family comparators. However lack of appropriate accommodation provision is an issue across Wessex which has been raised at local and central Management Board levels and is supported by a strategy and lead manager.</p> <p>Training in accommodation rights is due to be delivered to groups of practitioners via 'Shelter' and specialist accommodation support for those leaving custody is currently being applied for within the new Integrated Resettlement Support bids.</p>		

NI 44: Ethnic composition of young offenders	Ethnicity	YJ pop 07/08	Gen pop 07/08	YJ pop 08/09	Gen pop 08/09
	White	89.7 %	88.2%	89.5%	88.0 %
	Mixed	2.7%	3.4%	2.8%	3.3%
	Asian	2.4%	5.4 %	2.2%	5.6%
	Black	5.2%	1.4%	5.4%	1.4%
	Chinese	0.0%	1.6%	0.2%	1.7%
Analysis	<p>Ethnicity data collation and accuracy for Wessex YOT has historically proved problematic. There has been an estimated 20% under recording of known ethnicity status particularly across the Reprimand groups. This lack of clarity has been due to police recording and has required considerable manual efforts to improve. Some further IT issues have further exacerbated recording quality. Any trend analysis pre 2008/09 must therefore be interpreted with caution.</p> <p>However, as noted, there have been significant improvement in recording and overall, Wessex YOT data suggests that there is over-representation of black young people. This also appears to be the case for Southampton, although, amongst other ethnic groups there is suggestion of under-estimation.</p> <p>It is accepted by the YOT, that diversity awareness and monitoring needs to be more integrated into broader practice and the Head of Service is leading a specific diversity Quality Assurance group.</p>				