

## **Revised Minimum Revenue Provision Policy for 2014/15**

### **1. Introduction**

- 1.1. Where the Council finances capital expenditure by debt it must set aside resources to repay that debt in later years. The amount charged to revenue for the repayment of this debt is known as the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP). The MRP charge is the means by which capital expenditure which has been funded by borrowing is paid for by council tax payers.
- 1.2. Until 2007/08, the basis of calculation for the MRP was specified in legislation. From 2007/08 onwards there has been no statutory minimum and the requirement is simply for local authorities to make a prudent level of provision, and the government has instead issued statutory guidance, which local authorities are required to 'have regard to' when setting a prudent level of MRP. The guidance gives local authorities more freedom to determine what would be a prudent level of MRP.
- 1.3. The statutory guidance recommends that local authorities draw up a statement of their policy on the MRP, for approval by Full Council in advance of the year to which it applies. Any subsequent revisions to that policy should also be approved by Full Council.
- 1.4. This document revises and replaces the original MRP policy for 2014/15 which was approved by Full Council in February 2014.

### **2 Details of DCLG Guidance on MRP**

- 2.1. The statutory guidance issued by DCLG sets out the broad aims of a prudent MRP policy as being "to ensure that debt is repaid over a period that is either reasonably commensurate with that over which the capital expenditure provides benefits, or, in the case of borrowing supported by Government Revenue Support Grant, reasonably commensurate with the period implicit in the determination of the grant." It then identifies four options for calculating MRP and recommends the circumstances in which each option should be used, but states that other approaches are not ruled out.
- 2.2. The four MRP options available are:
  - Option 1: Regulatory Method - is the previous statutory method, which is calculated as 4% of the Council's General Fund Capital Financing Requirement, adjusted for smoothing factors from the transition to the prudential capital financing regime in 2003.
  - Option 2: CFR Method - Option 2 differs from Option 1 only in that the smoothing factors are removed. Option 2 has been included by DCLG to provide a simpler calculation for those councils for whom it would have a minimal impact, but the

draft guidance does not expect it to be used by councils for whom it would significantly increase MRP.

- Option 3: Asset Life Method – MRP is charged over the expected useful life of the asset either in equal instalments or using an annuity method whereby the MRP increases in later years
- Option 4: Depreciation Method - MRP is charged over the expected life of the asset in accordance with depreciation accounting. This would mean that the rate at which the MRP is charged could increase (or, more rarely, decrease) from year to year.

The guidance clearly states this does not preclude other prudent methods to provide for the repayment of debt principal.

- 2.3 Under the statutory guidance, it is recommended that local authorities use Options 3 or 4 for all prudential borrowing, and for all borrowing to fund capitalised expenditure (such as capital grants to other bodies and capital expenditure on IT developments). Authorities may use any of the four options for MRP for their remaining borrowing to fund capital expenditure.
  - 2.4. For balance sheet liabilities relating to finance leases and PFI schemes, the guidance recommends that one prudent approach would be for local authorities to make an MRP charge equal to the element of the annual rental which goes to write down the balance sheet liability. This would have the effect that the total impact on the bottom line would be equal to the actual rentals paid for the year. However the guidance also mentions that Option 3 could be used for this type of debt.
  - 2.5 The guidance also allows authorities to take a MRP Holiday where assets do not become operational for perhaps 2 or 3 years or longer. It proposes that MRP would not be charged until the year following the one in which the asset became operational.
3. **Details of Statute** - Part 4 Section 23 b of the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003
    - 3.1 In deciding on the appropriate level of MRP to charge and the most appropriate method of financing the capital programme, the Council needs to have regard to the wider legislation regarding the use of capital receipts.
    - 3.2 Statute gives local authorities the option to apply capital receipts to fund the payment of any liabilities relating to finance leases and PFI schemes. This is a reflection of the fact that such schemes are being treated in accounting terms as the acquisition of fixed assets, and the liability represents the amount being paid towards the purchase of the asset itself, rather than interest or service charges payable.

- 3.3. Local authorities may also use capital receipts to repay any borrowing that was incurred to fund capital expenditure in previous years.

#### **4. Revised 2014/15 MRP Policy**

For 2014/15 it is recommended the Council adopt the following MRP policy:

1. MRP will be charged utilising option 1 and 2 for pre 2008 General Fund debt
2. MRP will be charged utilising option 3 for assets which have been funded from prudential borrowing.
3. MRP will only be charged in the year following the asset becoming operational.
4. If capital receipts are utilised to repay debt in year, the value of MRP chargeable will be reduced by the value of the receipts utilised.
5. Whether an annuity or equal instalment method is adopted for option 3 will be dependent on the most financially beneficial method as determined by the Chief Financial Officer
6. For PFI and Finance lease liabilities an MRP charge will be made to match the value of any liabilities that have not been funded from capital receipts.
7. The Chief Finance Officer will determine annually the most prudent use of Capital Receipts, taking into account forecasts for future expenditure and the generation of further receipts.
8. There is no requirement for the HRA to make debt repayments but it has opted to make voluntary repayments relating to debt inherited due to HRA self financing settlement and provision has been made within the business plan to show that it can pay down the remaining debt over the life of the 30 year business plan.
9. Guidance relating to option 1 allows for debt transferred from Hampshire County Council relating to the Council activities prior to becoming a unitary authority in 1997 to be excluded from the MRP calculation as this is being repaid to the HCC.
10. Any major revisions to this policy will be presented to Full Council for approval.