

Reference: 2011/01691/01SRAP

Hearing:


25th August 2011

### Application for Review of Premises Licence

Premises Name: Club Rosso  
Premises Address: Town Quay  
Southampton  
SO14 2AQ

Application Date: 29th June 2011  
Application Received Date: 30th June 2011

Application Valid Date: 30th June 2011



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Southampton City Council Licence No. 100019679 2007.

### Representation From Responsible Authorities

Responsible Authority	Satisfactory?	Comments
Child Protection Services - Licensing	No response received	
Hampshire Fire And Rescue - Licensing	Yes	

Environmental Health - Licensing	No response received	
Planning & Sustainability - Building Control - Licensing	No response received	
Planning & Sustainability - Development Control - Licensing	No response received	
Hampshire Constabulary - Licensing	No	
Trading Standards - Licensing	Yes	

### ***Other Representations***

<b>Name</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Contributor Type</b>
Mr. Alain Ridley	44 The Greenwich Southampton SO14 2GJ	Resident
Hilary Thompson	47 The Greenwich Gloucester Square Southampton SO14 2GJ	Resident
Lex Bancroft	Town Quay Manager Associated British Ports Management Office Town Quay Southampton SO14 2AQ	Trader

### ***Legal Implications***

1. Part 3 of the Licensing Act 2003 provides that a responsible authority of a resident or business in the vicinity (interested party) may apply for review of a premises licence.
2. The grounds of review applications must relate to one or more of the licensing objectives.

3. In such circumstances, the applicant for the review must serve a copy of the review application on the holder of the premises licences, the City Council and each of the responsible authorities.
4. On receipt of the application for review, the officers will consider its validity, under delegated powers. Reasons for rejection, in whole or in part, include:
  - that the grounds for review are not relevant to one or more of the licensing objectives and;
  - (in the case of an application not made by a responsible authority), that the application is frivolous, vexatious or repetitious.
5. The City Council must, within one day of receiving the application for review, display a prescribed notice of the review application on the outside or adjacent the premises; the notice must remain on display for 28 days and any interested party in the vicinity or the responsible authorities may make representations in that period.
6. Unless the applicant, licence holder, interested parties and responsible authorities agree that a hearing is unnecessary, the City Council is then required to hold a hearing to consider the review.
7. The sub-committee, in considering the application for review, must have regard to the adopted Statement of Licensing Policy and evidence before it at the hearing.
8. The Licensing Act 2003 provides that, in determining an application for review, the sub-committee may take any (or none) of the following steps, as it considers necessary:
  - modify the conditions of the licence;
  - exclude a licensable activity from the scope of the licence;
  - remove the designated premises supervisor;
  - suspend the licence for a period not exceeding three months;
  - revoke the licence.
9. The Licensing Act 2003 makes provision for appeal to the Southampton Magistrates' Court against the sub-committee's decision in relation to an application for review.
10. In considering this application the sub-committee will sit in a quasi-judicial capacity and is thus obliged to consider applications in accordance with both the Licensing Act 2003 (Hearings) Regulations 2005, and amending secondary legislation and the rules of natural justice. The practical effect of this is that the sub-committee must make its decision based on evidence submitted in accordance with the legislation and give adequate reasons for reaching its decision.
11. Copies of the application for review and the Police objection are annexed to this report.
12. The sub-committee must also have regard to:-
13. *Crime and Disorder Act 1998*

Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 places the Council under a duty to exercise its various functions with due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of those functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent, crime and disorder in its area.
14. *Human Rights Act 1998*

The Act requires UK legislation to be interpreted in a manner consistent with the European Convention on Human Rights. It is unlawful for the Council to act in a way that is incompatible (or fail to act in a way that is compatible) with the rights protected by the Act. Any action undertaken by the Council that could have an effect upon another person's Human Rights must be taken having regard to the principle of proportionality - the need to balance the rights of the individual with the rights of the community as a whole. Any action taken by the Council which affect another's rights must be no more onerous than is necessary in a democratic society. The matters set out in this report must be considered in light of the above obligations.



**Hampshire Constabulary**  
Chief Constable Alex Marshall

Southampton City Council  
Southbrook Rise,  
4-8 Millbrook Road East,  
Southampton.  
SO15 1YG

Southampton Central Police Station  
Southern Road  
Southampton  
Hampshire  
SO15 1AN

**Our ref:**  
**Your ref:**

**Telephone:** 0845 045 45 45

**Direct dial:** 023 8067 4768

**Fax:** 08453660037

**Deaf/speech impaired minicom:** 01962 875000

**Email:** southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk

29<sup>th</sup> June 2011

Dear Sir,

I have submitted the following application to review the licence at Club Rosso.

As a licensee under the Licensing Act 2003 they have a duty to promote the four licensing objectives which are-

- The prevention of crime and disorder
- Public safety
- The prevention of public nuisance and
- The protection of children from harm

Hampshire Constabulary believes that they have failed to take the necessary steps to promote the prevention of crime, public safety, the prevention of public nuisance and the protection of children from harm. As a result I submit this application. I enclose the evidence for your records.

Yours faithfully

PC 20920 PRIOR  
Violent Crime Reduction & Licensing Department





### Application for the review of a Premises licence or Club Premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

Before completing this form, please refer to FPP 07001 (Licensing (Licensing Act 2003))

I PC 20920 Natasha Prior, on behalf of the Chief Officer of Hampshire Constabulary, *(Insert name of applicant)*

- Apply for the review of a premises licence.
- Apply for the review of a club premises certificate. *(Select as applicable)*



#### Premises or Club Premises details

Postal address of premises:	Club Rosso Town Quay Southampton
Postcode <i>(if known)</i> :	SO14 2AQ

Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate *(if known)*  
L and I Leisure Limited

Number of premises licence or club premises certificate *(if known)*  
2010/00415/01SPRD

#### Details of responsible authority applicant

Mr <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs <input type="checkbox"/> Miss <input type="checkbox"/> Ms <input type="checkbox"/> Other title / Rank: PC
Surname: PRIOR First Names: Natasha
Current postal address : Southampton Central Police Station Southern Road Southampton
Postcode: SO15 1AN
Daytime telephone number: 023 80 674 768
E-mail address: <i>(optional)</i> southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk

Hampshire Constabulary is a responsible authority and the applicant has the delegated authority of the Chief Officer of Police in respect of his responsibilities under the Licensing Act 2003



### Application for the review of a Premises licence or Club Premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)

- 1) The prevention of crime and disorder
- 2) Public safety
- 3) The prevention of public nuisance
- 4) The protection of children from harm

Select one or more boxes

- 
- 
- 
- 

Please state the grounds for review which must be based on one or more of the licensing objectives together with supporting information:

This review is brought by Hampshire Constabulary as a responsible authority under the Licensing Act 2003, because of failures in the management of Club Rosso, which impact on the licensing objectives.

On the basis of the evidence outlined below, Hampshire Constabulary would wish to achieve the following:

- 1. A removal of the DPS
- 2. The DPS should hold a nationally recognised Level 2 DPS course
- 3. A reduction in hours to address the public nuisance and resolve dispersal breaches
- 4. ID scanner to Challenge 25 policy
- 5. All glasses & bottles used at the premises will be polycarbonate or the contents of all glass bottles to be decanted into polycarbonate glasses

Then due to breaches in the conditions on the licence we would like to strengthen the wording of the following conditions

- 6. CCTV to include weekly checks recorded by DPS and the providing footage in a format on DVDR or CDR
- 7. Dispersal policy to include crowd control outside the premise and smoking area throughout operating hours of the premise
- 8. Incident book to strength the condition that it will be signed off daily by the manager after a debrief with doorstaff with clear guidance of what should be included in the incident log, for example ethnicity, sex, age, hair colour and style, clothing and then what actions taken by which person. When no incidents have occurred that should be written



**Application for the review of a  
Premises licence or Club Premises certificate  
under the Licensing Act 2003**

In summary, the Police evidence to support these points is as follows:-

There are two reasons that we feel that the DPS should be removed, the first being that he is not adhering to conditions on the licence or doing checks reasonably expected of a DPS to support the licensing objectives. The second reason is that he is the son of the main premise licence holder who overrules doorstaff decision and due to this the relationship the DPS has difficulty in challenging his father who is in affect his line manager.

In relation to the conditions on the licence we had meetings with the premise and it is clear that they are breaching the conditions. The conditions that are consistent with the operating schedule include SIA registered doorstaff to be on from 2100 hours until 30 minutes after the premise closes. Looking at the time sheets for the doorstaff they are starting at 2200 hours and often finish only 15 minutes after closure. When we discussed this with the DPS at a meeting on the 8th June 2011 and he stated that the club doesn't open until 2200 hours, and the doorstaff staff leave when the customers have dispersed. It was explained that the condition was specific for a reason and that if he wanted to operate outside that condition then he needs to put in for a variation, not take it as optional. The DPS said that he would look to vary the start time and would keep the doorstaff on the 30 minutes after. The 30 minutes after the premise closes was put in place as part of the dispersal policy for the prevention of public nuisance and also comes into public safety and crime and disorder. It is of particular importance as on the 18/02/11 there was a residents meeting at the premise due to the number of complaints about people who left the premise causing a nuisance to residents. The premise stated that they wanted to work towards improving things yet are not adhering to a condition set up to manage dispersal.

Another condition on the licence not being adhered to was the CCTV condition as footage is required to be kept for 28 days. A colleague informed us that the footage was only recording for 8 days which he pointed out to the manager of the premise. When this was checked on the 18/02/11 with the DPS he said he was unaware as the manager hadn't told him and he would get it looked at. Almost a month later, on the 15/03/11, at a meeting the DPS was asked about the CCTV and he informed us that an extra hard drive had been put in a week earlier. This shows that there was three weeks after being made aware of the problem that action was taken. Then on the 23/03/11 the doorstaff company had asked the premise to view footage and the footage was not on the system even though the date requested was after the new hard drive was put in. This showed that the DPS had not checked to see that the system was working so footage was being lost. The response to this continued problem was to issue a Section 19 Closure Order which was given to the premise on the 26/03/11. The DPS argued that he had the new hard drive put in on the 10/03/11 and had a follow up visit planned for the end of March. It was explained to the DPS that he clearly had not been checking the CCTV system to make sure it was recording and storing. The DPS took the closure order seriously and kept us updated on engineer call outs and daily checking. On the 28/05/11 the footage was checked and was saving 40 days of footage and therefore the Section 19 Order was cancelled. If it had not been for the police intervention, prompting and enforcement action then it would not have been rectified. This shows the poor management by not making basic checks or following up on what has been done until forced to. This is not supportive of the licensing objectives as the CCTV is key in investigating incidents of crime and disorder.

On the 8/06/11 the DPS was asked to produce the toilet checks log that is required as part

## Application for the review of a Premises licence or Club Premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

of the drugs policy condition on the licence. He was unable to produce this stating it was misplaced about two weeks previously. This shows that the DPS was aware that he should have it, knew that he did not have it and decided to breach another condition on the licence. The drugs policy is important when supporting the licensing objectives of the prevention of crime and disorder and public safety and is not being supported.

The incident book was then requested and the diary that had previously been used by the head doorman had stopped being used on his departure. After that incident sheets had been used but were out of order and some had limited details of incidents. On checking for incidents, including an assault on a member of doorstaff, it became clear that some were not recorded as there was no incident report sheet. The DPS commented that he did not realise they were using incident sheets and he was not happy with that. The condition states that the incident book will be reviewed by senior management and clearly it hasn't been for at least six weeks though probably longer. The quality of the entries had previously been highlighted as a problem on the 15/03/11 and the DPS had not addressed that either.

On clarifying the dispersal policy on the licence the DPS was clear to point out that the DJ announcements says "may be used" and therefore was optional and they choose not to. This choice goes against the promise the DPS made to residents to do anything he could to reduce the problems they faced. It is not a breach in the licence condition but it is there for a reason and is being disregarded and therefore not supporting the licensing objectives. This is part of the licence that needs clarification.

At the numerous meetings and attendances at Club Rosso it has been raised that there are concerns about the crowd control outside. The problems that this raises for public nuisance are quite clear as more people outside results in more noise. There is no control over who is smoking or queueing and it appears to be an additional social area for the premise. This has been addressed a number of times with the management and they have discussed extending the barrier area for smoking and make sure people remain in that area. Their former head doorman has stated that on occasion there is up to 200 people outside and that they cannot stop people from going outside. He also explained that some of the clientele do not respond well to be asked to follow doorstaff instructions so enforcement would be difficult. At this time there is clearly no management of the situation despite the police and resident requests. The area outside being crowded also raises a concern under public safety as when incidents do happen, for example footage on the 3/04/11 and 1/05/11, the doorstaff and police try to separate people but get separated from colleagues in the crowds. The incident on the 1/05/11 is particularly clear showing a female officer speaking to someone near the road when someone else launches themselves through a crowd towards the person being spoken to. All persons end up struggling in the road and the male officer can be seen to raise his baton to protect himself and his colleague due to the crowd around them and him not knowing who is hostile and who is not.

The second reason for wanting the removal of the DPS was due to the relationship between the DPS and one of the premise licence holders, his father. This premise licence holder is concerning due to his actions and behaviour at the premise; unlike most premise licence holders who leave the running of the club to the DPS he is always at the premise and usually outside interacting with the customers. The first concern was raised by a colleague providing information that "Outside Club Rosso a male appeared to be under the influence of alcohol was liaising with the males outside the venue, lighting their cigarettes etc and





## Application for the review of a Premises licence or Club Premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

being overly friendly. He was swaying and unsteady on his feet, the doorstaff stated that the male was in fact the owner of the club. His speech was slurred and was making no sense, this was bizzare behaviour for a club owner" When at the meeting on the 9/11/10 this was specifically mentioned and the premise licence holder said that it must refer to him. He advised that he was sure he wasn't that drunk although he does drink but he pays for it all as staff when off duty have to pay. A member of staff stated to us that "nine times out of ten he's been drinking, I think you've seen that for yourselves and that's a fact". Another example is that on the 30/05/11 a colleague informed us that he had seen a male overrule a doorstaff decision on an ejection and that the ejected person had returned into the club only to be ejected again. The officer also commented on the fact that this overruling male was drunk and stated he owned the club. The officers spoke to the DPS on that night and he spoke to both his father and the head doorman about it. In a meeting about this on the 8/06/11 the DPS showed us that he had a door policy in place that states "Security team cannot be overridden by any member of staff, management, or directors." This policy had apparently been in place for eight weeks yet this incident had happened after the policy was put in place. The DPS was keen to let us know that he had a new and very strict doorman in place now but it appears that this overruling occurred when he was in place. Neither the policy nor the new strict doorman had changed the problems that must have been occurring for the policy to be written.

Other information received was that the promoter for the premise, the brother of the DPS, had also been a problem for doorstaff and actually been ejected from the premise after a dispute about entry to the VIP area and that he had been abusive to the doorstaff. More information in relation to this promoter was he had vouched for a female who gained entry even though she had no ID because he stated that she was 22 years old when in fact it turned out he did not know her and she was only 17 years old. This is a large concern under the protection of children from harm. Clearly there is an issue with the family members involvement with the premise during operating hours when they are off duty and drinking. This is putting the DPS in an extremely difficult position and he himself said that his father is the director of the company and therefore is his line management.

There have been crime and disorder problems at the premise, particularly when they have popular DJ's on. The most major incident was on the 3/07/10 when they had a rapper on, during which two groups of males were ejected who then reacted badly and attacked the doorstaff. The group picked up poles from the barriers outside to attack doorstaff and the premise licence holder, father of the DPS who was outside stepped in and got seriously injured. Due to the nature of the incident and level of injuries the police put a scene on outside the premise; the next day the scene was still on and the DPS complained stating it would have a negative effect on the business. This is not the support that police would expect and need from a DPS when such a serious incident has occurred. At a meeting on the 11/07/10 the DPS did apologise for this stating that he was obviously very concerned about the GBH injuries suffered by his father. The doorstaff in this case were praised for their actions as they were in an extremely violent situation. The DPS stated at this point that they had no plans for that type of event again and would shift the focus elsewhere. A few months later police got information that another big name act was appearing at the premise and decided to address this with the DPS. The DPS stated that he was aware there had been problems at other venues with this act and had put extra doorstaff on for it. The DPS reassured us that he had experience in managing these type of events. It was decided that



**Application for the review of a  
Premises licence or Club Premises certificate  
under the Licensing Act 2003**

for the night the big name act was on the there would be a police operation for drugs testing. The event was on the 26/11/10 and the police had non-uniformed and uniformed officers there as part of the drugs testing operation and the event passed without incident. Then on the 26/02/11 the premise had another big act visiting rather than performing which the premise were aware of in advance as they submitted a Temporary Event Notice (TEN) for it. The police had numerous calls that night and had to keep attending, this included the doorstaff stating that they were getting threats from people saying that they would shoot them. There was another meeting with the DPS to ask why the police had not been informed of the event and the DPS replied that the TENS had been submitted. On looking at the TEN they had applied stating that it was for an extension of hours making no reference to what it was for. The DPS stated that they had put extra doorstaff on to deal with the extra numbers. We pointed out that if he had let us know then we could have had requested police to be allocated to be there and this might have stopped the problems and threats occurring. There is an expectation, especially given the previous meetings of events at the premise, that a responsible DPS would have notified the police to arrange support to minimise crime and disorder.

There have been a number of crime incidents at the premise and some of these have been addressed with the premise. For example on the 13/03/11 a male was ejected from the premise and had a glass in his hand, he hit the doorstaff removing him with the glass causing a laceration to his face. The male was put to the floor in the entry way and when police attended was arrested. Outside the premise as police were taking the arrested male away the member of doorstaff was walking around with his shirt off. The management were not addressing this males actions or his injury and having his shirt off looks aggressive. Due to walking around outside the doorstaff is further assaulted by a friend of the arrested male. The arrested male attended custody and had injuries to him and stated that the doorstaff had done this to him. On checking the CCTV the doorstaff who had been hit with a glass is next to the male and can be seen to punch and knee him whilst the male was restrained resulting in ABH injuries. At a meeting on the 15/05/11 in relation to this the DPS initially felt that the responsibility of the doorstaff rested with the company he employed but it was pointed out that it was his premise and that he had to make a decision about the staff who worked there. His decision was to not have the doorstaff who had punched and kneed the male working the club door again unless they needed to up the number of doorstaff. This was checked at a meeting on the 8/06/11 where the DPS stated that the male had been restrained by the company and did not work the door regularly but is used when extra doorstaff required. This show a conflict in the DPS's decision which does not fully support the licensing objections.

This incident involved a glass being used to injury the doorstaff and it is not the first time that a glass incident has occurred at the premise. On New Years Eve a male was ejected out of the club and still had a glass in his hand, he turned round and threw it towards doorstaff. It missed the doorstaff but smashed on the wall by the smoking area causing injury to a female stood there. In relation to these incidents the premise were encouraged to switch to polycarbonate, they have decided to do this on glasses and wine glasses but not bottles or pint glasses.

The police have also received numerous complaints from local residents in relation to people leaving the premise and the public nuisance that this has caused. This resulted in





### Application for the review of a Premises licence or Club Premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

Signature of Officer Completing

Name PC Natasha Prior Collar Number: 20920  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 27/06/11

Signature of Authorising Officer (Inspector or above)

Name P. BATES Collar Number: 5012  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 29/6/11

### Exhibit list

- NAP/CR/01 – 14/11/10 BWV drunk male and group on High Street
- NAP/CR/02 – 13/03/11 CCTV of glassing on doorstaff, assault by doorstaff and posturing
- NAP/CR/03 – 03/04/11 CCTV of crowd outside and no dispersal
- NAP/CR/04 – 24/04/11 BWV drunk male outside
- NAP/CR/05 – 01/05/11 CCTV dangerous situation for officers due to crowds
- NAP/CR/06 – Incidents reports for Club Rosso (edited)
- NAP/CR/07 – Graph of times of incidents at Club Rosso
- NAP/CR/08 – Minutes of residents meeting (edited)
- NAP/CR/09 – One of e-mails of resident complaints
- NAP/CR/10 – Statement of previous member of staff at Club Rosso
- NAP/CR/11 – Statement from officer with concerns about premise occupancy
- NAP/CR/12 – Letter to premise dated 9<sup>th</sup> November
- NAP/CR/13 – Letter to premise dated 25<sup>th</sup> November
- NAP/CR/14 – Letter to premise dated 18<sup>th</sup> March

Involvement	Occ #	Type	Time	Notes
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110265446	Public order	26/06/2011 03:34	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) ONE DETAINED / *** RESULT *** / MALE HAS BEEN TOLD TO LEAVE BY
Occurrence address	44110254902	Assault	19/06/2011 03:23	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Aggrieved starting dancing with the suspect's girlfriend on th
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110255368	Assault	19/06/2011 01:55	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) During the course of a fight inside Club Rosso the agg'ved as
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110254766	ASB Nui - Rowdy and Inconsiderate Behaviour	19/06/2011 00:51	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) SD73 CAMERAS PLEASE / *** RESULT *** / GROUP MOVED ON
Occurrence address	44110248646	Assault	15/06/2011 01:43	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) TWO MALES SEEN TO PUNCH AND KICK THE AGGRIEVED CAUSING THE AGG
Occurrence address	44110228269	Theft	02/06/2011 10:59	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) the aggrieved spent the eveing in a club called rosso's she placed a phone call at approx 0200 and place her mobile back into her bag which was on her at all times she went to the bar to by a drink to discover that her bag was open and her mobile phone had been removed. no suspects and no witnesses cctv veiwed by management at the time negative result

Other	44110226267	Assault	01/06/2011 03:28	TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (TOWN QUAY MARINA OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) This occurrence relates to an incident of Common Assault (Battery) x 2 in which police were called to TOWN QUAY / WEST QUAY ROAD on reports by CCTV control room of a group outside Club ROSSO fighting agmonst themselves and that one male had thrown punches and assaulted someone within the group. The aggrieved parties and offender were all part of the same group and all know each other, Upon police arrival the main offender identified by CCTV The group had been in Club Rosso in the early hours of the mornin
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110220930	ASB - Nuisance	28/05/2011 02:06	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Drunk ejected from nightclub. Became aggressive and was restrained by doorstaff. Arrested D&D.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110211879	Assault	22/05/2011 02:57	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) FEMALE ASSAULTED ON DANCE FLOOR OF BUSY NIGHT CLUB DETAINED AND EJECTED BY DOORSTAFF. ARRESTED BY POLICE AT SCENE.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110200479	ASB - Nuisance	15/05/2011 02:35	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) INFT REPORTING THERE IS A LOT OF NOISE COMING FROM PEOPLE LEAVING LOCATION ADDRESS. INFT STATED THIS IS AN ONGOING ISSUE AND SHE IS IN TOUCH WITH EHO AT L/A // INFT WAS ASKED TO REPORT WHEN THESE INCIDENTS GET VERY BAD AND INFT STATED TONIGHT IS VERY BAD. LOTS OF PEOPLE SHOUTING AND SWEARING WALKING OUT OF THE CLUB# // ADVISED WOULD LOG INCIDENT AND SEND TO L/A / *** RESULT *** // CLOSED TO IMU // SRS FORM # 016 COMPLETED AND SENT TO L/A

Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110181458	Suspicious - Other	02/05/2011 17:21	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) INF REPORTING SHE WENT TO CLUB ROSSO LAST NIGHT. SHE BELIEVES HER DRINK WAS SPIKED WHEN SHE LEFT IT AT THE BAR. HER FRIENDS WERE WITH HER AND LOOKED AFTER HER. HOWEVER, AT ONE POINT, 2 BLACK MALES TRIED TO GET HER INTO A CAB. // INF HAD BEEN DRINKING AND HAD HAD 4-5 DRINKS BEFOREHAND, BUT ALL OF A SUDDEN COULD NOT EVEN HOLD HER HEAD UP. HER FRIENDS SAID THAT THEY HAD NEVER SEEN HER LIKE THAT BEFORE. // INF'S FRIEND ADV INF THAT 7 CABS REFUSED TO TAKE HER HOME. // INF HAS NO MEMORY OF THE NIGHT. INF'S FRIEND TOOK HER BACK TO HER HOUSE, AND HER BOYFRIEND HAD TO CARRY HER INTO THE FLAT. SHE WAS VIOLENTLY SICK. // INF HAS CONTACTED THE HOSPITAL WHO ADV HER TO CALL POLICE. SPOKE TO FEC SUPERVISOR WH
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110179434	Public order	01/05/2011 02:10	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) MORE UNITS REQUIRED - LARGE FIGHT ON CAMERA // C1 - A NUMBER OF OFFICERS AT THE LOCATION // XS96 - NO FURTHER PATROLS. ONE MALE ARRESTED FOR ASSAULT OUTSIDE THE CLUB. OBTAINING DETAILS NOW. // XS96 - ONE DETAINED FOR AFFRAY. / *** RESULT *** // MALE DETAINED FOR AFFRAY.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110179354	Assault	01/05/2011 01:02	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) This relates to an assault outside CLUB ROSSO, two door staff assaulted and male arrested at scene.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110171829	Assault	25/04/2011 20:12	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) INFT REPORTING THAT SHE HAS JUST BEEN ASSAULTED BY A FEMALE / INFT WAS OUT IN A CLUB LAST NIGHT WAS APPROACHED AND SHE PUNCHED IN THE FACE AND PULLED HER BY HER HAIR // INFT STATES THAT SHE DID NOT PROVOKE IT, / INFT STATES THAT SHE SHOUTED "I WILL SEE YOU AGAIN AND I WILL GET YOU" // INFT DID NOT GET MEDICAL ATTENTION AND DOES NOT HAVE ANY VISABLE INJURIES BUT WANTS TO PRESS CHARGES



Occurrence address	44110171170	Assault	25/04/2011 05:42	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Suspect deliberately knocked a glass out of YOUNG's hand causing it to smash cutting her hand. He then went outside and pushed SULLIVAN over causing large abrasion to her shoulder and arm.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110171101	Suspicious - Other	25/04/2011 03:18	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) REPORTING A POSSIBLE DRUG DEALER OPERATING IN CLUB ROSSO. / CALLER IS A TAXI DRIVER AND PICKED UP 3 PEOPLE UP IN MANSBRIDGE. THEY SAID THEY WANTED TO GET TO CLUB ROSSO TO PICK UP A KEY (WHICH CALLER STATES IS A USUAL EXCUSE FOR DRUG DEALERS). // WHEN THEY GOT TO THE DESTINATION, ONE OF THE PASSENGERS GOT OUT; HE MADE A PHONE CALL TO A MALE AND GOT BACK INTO CAR - A MALE WITH WHITE T-SHIRT CAME OVER AND GOT IN THE CAR WITH THE OTHER OCCUPANTS. CALLER BELIEVES THAT NO KEY WAS HANDED OVER AND BELIEVES THAT A DRUG DEAL TOOK PLACE, ALTHOUGH HE DID NOT SEE THIS. // THE MALE IN A WHITE T-SHIRT ASKED TO BE TAKEN TO HIS GIRLFRIEND. HOWEVER, CALLER HAS THEN DRIVEN JUST AROUND THE CORNER (ABOUT 80 METERS) AND THE MALE I
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110171031	Assault	25/04/2011 01:22	0 TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Suspect deliberately knocked a glass out of YOUNG's hand causing it to smash cutting her hand. He then went outside and pushed SULLIVAN over causing large abrasion to her shoulder and arm.

Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110163802	Assault	20/04/2011 01:57	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) INFT STATES HE HAS BEEN ASSAULTED BY A BOUNCER- // DESC WITH LONG HAIR - WITH PONY TAIL // STATES HE DID NOT GIVE AN EXPLANATION WHY HE COULD NOT GO INTO THE CLUB // HAVE ADVISED INFT THERE WILL BE A LONG DELAY - HE STATES HE IS NOT LEAVING UNTIL POLICE ARRIVE /// ** NFDATT *** // FURTHER CALL FROM INFT SAYING THE POLICE ARE A JOKE AS HE HAS BEEN WAITING 15 MINUTES AND POLICE ARE SUPPOSED TO PROTECT HIM, TRIED TO EXPLAIN CIRC FOR HIM BUT HE JUST KEPT SHOUTING OVER ME SO CALL TERMINATED. // FURTHER CALL FROM INFT- ASKING WHEN POLICE WILL BE ATTENDING- // ADVISED INFT AGAIN THERE MAY BE A LONG DELAY BEFORE ATTENDING /// SD50 INC #0091 /
Occurrence address	44110141922	ASB - Nuisance	06/04/2011 03:13	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) INFT REPORTING NOISE FROM PEOPLE LEAVING THE CLUB CALLED CLUB ROSSO. / HAS BEEN INFORMED TO LOG THIS. LOTS OF SHOUTING AND SWEARING. / FOR INFO ONLY. - 101 - 11040600001
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110141925	Public order	06/04/2011 03:06	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) FIGHT // VS - BEL DOOR STAFF ARE TRYING TO KEEP TWO GRPS APART // SD53 - AMB PLS - MALE EARLY 20'S LACERATION TO LOWER LIP C&B. // SC53 - AGGD WILL NOT TELL US HIS NAME LET ALONE ANY DESC FOR OFFENDERS // MALE IS WALKING AWAY // SC53 - HE WONT TEL US WHAT HAS GONE ON OR HIS DETAILS, THERE IS NO CCTV - NOTHING FURTHER WE CAN DO // SD53 - PSE CLOSE AS A PUBLIC ORDER /
Occurrence address	44110139786	Theft	04/04/2011 17:40	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Female has attended to report that during the times stated she was in Club ROSSO and her handbag has been taken whilst she was dancing. she has later spoke with staff who have located her bag but her UK Passport was no longer in the Bag. Aggrieved states that CCTV covers the area. Male called Johnny is the point of contact at club.

Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110137693	ASB - Nuisance	03/04/2011 03:26	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - SECURITY NEED ASSISTANCE // XS97 - SPLIT UP THE PARTIES - LARGE GROUP OUTSIDE. CAMERAS ON. DOOR STAFF HAVE GONE INSIDE. // VISABLE PRESENCE OUTSIDE DURING KICKOUT //
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110126887	S27/30 Dispersal Order (Police Initiated)	27/03/2011 04:04	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VEN REQ FOR S27 / *** RESULT *** // S27 ISSUED
Occurrence address	44110109779	Criminal damage	16/03/2011 04:20	ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Doorstaff asked two males to leave the club. The males were not happy about leaving and when outside one of the males picked up the barriers and tried to throw them at doorstaff causing damage to
Occurrence address	44110107419	Assault	14/03/2011 15:31	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male having been restrained by doorstaff is then struck to face and body by knee and pucnehs whilst in prone position.
Occurrence address	44110107317	Assault	14/03/2011 14:22	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male has attended to report that he has been assaulted by door staff at CLUB ROSSO.
Occurrence address	44110105848	Assault	13/03/2011 11:14	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Nightclub door staff member having ejected a male from premisies is approached by second male whostrikes door staff member once to head using right hand. (Incident on CCTV)
Occurrence address	44110105690	Stop check	13/03/2011 05:20	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) PERSON STOP SEARCH / Male identified by doorstaff as possibly being in possession of controlled substance. Section 23 drug search conducted and white powder found.

Reporting person address; Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110105553	Rowdy and Inconsiderate	13/03/2011 04:06	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) MW19 2 VERY ANGRY LADIES AT THIS TIME / *** RESULT *** / ON ARRIVAL, 2 FEMALES HAVING WORDS, THEY HAVE GONE ON THEIR WAY NO OFFENCES
Occurrence address	44110105600	Theft	13/03/2011 03:13	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Between times stated inft's mobile phone has gone missing from her handbag whilst in Club Rosso. Inft states she did not put her bag down at any time and it was fastened but may have fallen out and be "theft by finding".
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110105581	Drug offences	13/03/2011 02:49	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) / Male identified by doorstaff as possibly being in possession of controlled substance. Section 23 drug search conducted and white powder found.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110105553	Assault	13/03/2011 02:03	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Doorman has ejected a customer who has then glassed him in the face causing cuts to left ear.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110095084	Drug offences	06/03/2011 00:35	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male found in the toilets of Club Rosso preparing to snort a white powder.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110085564	Assault	27/02/2011 04:45	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Report of altercation outside of club Rosso. No complaint and no persons wishing to speak to police. Alleged offender had left prior to police arrival

Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110085543	Rowdy and inconsiderate	27/02/2011 03:31	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) ICS 3 MALE THREATENING TO SHOOT DOOR STAFF - NO WEAPONS SEEN // DESC - DREADLOCK HAIR BBC BACKWARDS - DARK TRAINERS WHITS SOLES - DARK CLOTHING // CP94 - SEARCH OF MALE NEGATIVE. WAITING TO SPEAK TO SECURITY AND POSSIBLY A VEH TO SEARCH - UPDATE SHORTLY // CP94 - THE MALE HAS BEEN EARCHED - NEGATIVE / HAVE SEARCHED HIS THINGS ON BUS ALSO // CP94 - SEARCHES ALL NEGATIVE - DOOR STAFF SPOKEN TOO - DISPUTE OVER WHAT WAS SAID - MALE DENIES ANY ALLEGATION OF HAVING A GUN OR MAKING THE THREATS - C12 ISSUED /
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110085277	Other crime	27/02/2011 00:28	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male arrested for breaching conditions of S.27 Dispersal Order. Issued dispersal order on 26-02-11 at 0245 hours. Map and photograph taken. Condition not to enter city centre area for 48 hours. DP seen causing problems outside club Rosso on 27-02-11.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110084076	S27/30 Dispersal Order (Police Initiated)	26/02/2011 02:36	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male issued S.27 Dispersal Order after being ejected from club Rosso and refusing to leave the area outside.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110084015	Assault	26/02/2011 01:20	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - FEMALE WAS ASSAULTED EARLIER THIS EVENING - OFFENDER IS NOW BACK IN THE BUILDING / BEL STAFF HAVE HIM DETAINED // SHE WISHES TO MAKE A COMPLAINT / VS - THE OFFENDER IS THE FEMALE IN THE DOORWAY // AGGD IS INSIDE WITH STAFF // *** RESULT *** // COUNTER ALLEGATIONS MADE / FOR FURTHER ENQS
Occurrence address	44110078249	Stop check	22/02/2011 13:25	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) PERSON STOP SEARCH / SECTION 27 DISPERSAL

Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110074915	Rowdy and inconsiderate	20/02/2011 03:27	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) POSS DISTURBANCE WITH DOOR STAFF / *** RESULT *** / ALL CALMED PRIOR TO POLICE ARRIVAL
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110073542	Rowdy and inconsiderate	19/02/2011 02:08 27 / /	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - STAFF HAVE MALE DETAINED ON FLOOR // XS96 - MALE FROM CLUB ROSSO WILL BE SECTION
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110068508	S27/30 Dispersal Order (Police Initiated)	16/02/2011 01:53	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male ejected by door staff for being too drunk and abusive
Occurrence address	44110064677 Stop check	Stop check	13/02/2011 03:24	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) PERSON STOP SEARCH / Indicated by staff that male had been seen to take drugs in toilet and thrown out of Club ROSSO he has then been searched and small bag of white powder found.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110064411	Drug offences	13/02/2011 00:10	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Indicated by staff that male had been seen to take drugs in toilet and thrown out of Club ROSSO he has then been searched and small bag of white powder found.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110046833	Drug offences	02/02/2011 01:55	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Report from door staff at Club Rosso, male tried to enter after dropping a packet of white powder on the ground and refusing a search. Description of male and direction of travel provided.

Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44110036339	Rowdy and inconsiderate	26/01/2011 02:15	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - ONE DETAINED BY DOOR STAFF / TRD9 - WITH MALE // VS - NO COMPLAINTS FROM DOOR STAFF - THEY JUST RECOMMEND NO ENTRY ELSEWHERE / *** RESULT *** // MALE SENT ON HIS WAY - NO COMPLAINTS
Occurrence address	44110022947	Theft	17/01/2011 10:43	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) AGGD PLACED HER CLUTHBAG ONTO BAR WHERE SHE WAS STANDING IN A BUSY NIGHT CLUB , TURNED ROUND TO TALK , AFTER ABOUT 5 MINS WENT TO GET HER BAG AND FOUND IT HAD BEEN STOLEN FROM THE BAR . BY UNKNOWN PERSONS /
Occurrence address	44110016074	Assault	12/01/2011 16:47	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Aggrieved states he was outside Rossos nightclub and some trouble began. He was pushed and threatened stating we know where you live and with one slap I can brake every bone in your body. He states he was pushed about. There appears to be some history to this
Occurrence address	44110001012	Assault	01/01/2011 02:26	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) The offender has been ejected from a night club by two door staff. The offender was in possession of a glass bottle which he threw at one of the door staff, who ducked to avoid being hit. The bottle struck the female victim to the face causing swelling and pain to her right eye.
Occurrence address	44100541316	Theft	29/12/2010 17:50	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) INFT REPORTING THE THEFT OF HIS DAUGHTERS MOBILE PHONE FROM HER BAG WHILST IN CLUB ROSSO. THIS THEFT HAS OCCURRED BETWEEN GIVEN TIMES.

Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100540603	Rowdy and inconsiderate	29/12/2010 02:39	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - PEOPLE EJECTED, QUITE HEATED. / SA51 - SPOKEN TO DOOR STAFF, THERE IS A MALE WHO, JUST GOING TO MONITOR HIM / *** RESULT *** / NO OFFENCES / NO COMPLAINTS
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100539028	Rowdy and inconsiderate	27/12/2010 03:05	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - HAVING TROUBLE MOVING ON MALES. / *** RESULT *** / NO OFFENCES AND MALES HAVE GONE ON THEIR WAY
Occurrence address	44100531035	Theft	19/12/2010 13:00	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) AGGRVD REPORTING THAT HE WAS IN ONE OF THE DANCE ROOMS OF THE NIGHT CLUB AND WELL IN DRINK, UNKNOWN PERSONS HAVE REACHED INTO HIS JEANS POCKET AND REMOVED HIS MOBILE PHONE WITHOUT PERMISSION. AGGRVD WAS IN A CROWDED AREA AND WHEN HE TURNED AROUND HE COULD NOT SEE WHO HAD TAKEN HIS PHONE. /
Occurrence address	44100522547	Theft	13/12/2010 10:19	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Aggr reports that between stated times, persons unknown have stolen her handbag which contained her iPhone. Aggr was at a nightclub in Southampton when inc occurred. Aggr has no knowledge re offenders. Bag had been left unattended for about 10-15 mins at the side of the club with bags belonging to Aggr's friends. Nothing else known to have been taken at the same time.
Occurrence address	44100501404	Stop check	27/11/2010 02:08	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) PERSON STOP SEARCH / MALE STOPPED AND SEARCHED UNDER SECTION 23 MDA. MALE ARRESTED AND STREET BAILED.



Occurrence address	44100501394	Drug offences	27/11/2010 01:58	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Police were conducting an overt drug testing operation at Club Rosso when a male was detected with traces of cocaine on his hands following a hand swab. Offender then produced from his pocket a small quantity of white powder that he admitted was cocaine.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100491499	Burglary other than in a dwelling	20/11/2010 04:07	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) THE AGGD IS REPORTING THAT DURING TIMES STATED PERSONS UNKNOWN HAVE STOLEN HER HANDBAG FROM BEHIND THE TILL POINT AT THE CLUB SHE WORKS IN.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100481185	Rowdy and inconsiderate	13/11/2010 03:30	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - 15 PLUS MALES KICKING OFF / *** RESULT *** // GROUP DISPERSED AND MOVED ON
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100481012	Dispersal Order (Police Initiated)	13/11/2010 01:42	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) S27
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100481011	S27/30 Dispersal Order (Police Initiated)	13/11/2010 01:36	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) 2 males ejected from the club for an incident inside. They were compliant with police and there were no offences disclosed. They were both dispersed under section 27 VCRA and issued with yellow cards - Op Diligence
Occurrence address	44100472962	Theft	07/11/2010 15:48	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) AGGDS SMALL CLUTCH BAG STOLEN FROM AGGD WHILST SHE WAS IN A NIGHT CLUB.

Reporting person address; Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100472501	Public order	07/11/2010 03:30	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male loudly shouted "THIS IS BECAUSE YOU LET ALL THOSE FUCKING NIGGERS OUT OF FUCKING JAIL" on busy street shortly after fight between 2 groups of males. Numerous MOP present and able to hear.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100472508	Public order	07/11/2010 03:15	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - CLUB ROSSO - 8 SQUARING UP OUTSIDE // XS99 - 2 DETAINED IN THE VAN // SC05B - PSE KEEP UNITS DOWN HERE LOTS MILLING AROUND // SC05B - 1 DETAINED RACIALLY AGGD SECTION 5 - NO COMPLAINTS FROM THE FIGHT SEEN ON CCTV // SC05B - NO COMPLAINTS FROM THIS INCIDENT - ALL PARTIES WENT THEIR SEPERATE WAYS // 2 DETAINED FROM SEPERATE INCIDENTS
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100470947	Rowdy and inconsiderate	06/11/2010 02:11	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - 2M ALES BEING RACIALLY ABUSIVE TO STAFF // ONE IN BLACK WITH WHITE SHOES / ONE IN TURQUISE JUMPER. /// XS96 - NO COMPLAINTS AND MALES LEFT / *** RESULT *** / COMPLAINTS AND MALES LEFT
Occurrence address	44100440696	Theft	17/10/2010 10:36	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Aggr reports that between stated times, persons unknown have stolen his iPhone whilst he was at the nightclub at occurrence address. Aggr does not know circs in which it was taken. He stated he might have left it on a table or at the bar or it might have been pick pocketed from him. No answer when phone was called, battery was low and Aggr thinks it is now flat. Aggr has tried calling the club and persons he was with, including people he knows who work at the club, but there was either no answer or they had no knowledge.


Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100440420	Rowdy and inconsiderate	17/10/2010 01:50	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male became aggressive on being ejected from Club Rosso and had to be restrained on the floor by doorstaff (using reasonable force). He was given a S.27 dispersal for 24 hrs and a yellow card (and map).
Occurrence address	44100433107	Theft	12/10/2010 12:10	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Aggr'd states that in the early hours of Sunday morning she has her bag stolen from Club Rosso. She states she was in the VIP room all night with about 15 others. She went outside to talk to a friend and when she returned her bag had gone. The bag contained her BlackBerry Curve, Samsung P1000 camera, driving licence and house keys. D/L had her old address on. Nothing seen by aggr'd.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100429942	Other crime	10/10/2010 01:51	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) VS - MALE ASSAULTED. // VS - INJURED PARTY HAS BEEN KICKED IN THE HEAD. // VS - ACTUAL ASSAULT NOT ON CAMERA, JUST OFFENDER BEING DETAINED BY DOOR STAFF.
Occurrence address	44100378807	Theft	06/09/2010 13:44	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Person(s) unknown have stolen the aggr'd's handbag from a table in the VIP area of Club Rosso. She thought at the time that a friend had taken it but has subsequently checked her bill online and discovered several calls to adult entertainment phone lines that started at 0356 on 28/08 and lasted through until 0520. She has checked with the friend and they had not taken the bag. The phone has now been blocked but at present the aggr'd cannot check her account so does not know if there are any further calls from the phone.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100377131	Assault	05/09/2010 02:52	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) (VR) Male assaulted by his friend in Club Rosso after an altercation. The aggr'd was punched once in the face and has sustained a swollen eye and hospital treatment received.

Occurrence address	44100368296	S27/30 Dispersal Order (Police Initiated)	30/08/2010 04:29	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) MALE WAS DETAINED BY DOOR STAFF OF CLUB ROSSO FOLLOWING HIS SISTER BEING ARRESTED FOR A VIOLENT OFFENCE. 48 HOURS DISPERSAL.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100368097	S27/30 Dispersal Order (Police Initiated)	30/08/2010 00:16	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Police witnessed dispute outside Club Rosso where female was seen to march towards male party and swing punches. She was restrained by the males friends. Whne engaged by Police with a view to a Section 27 Dispersal she was repeatedly told Police to "FUCK OFF" and called the office a "CUNT", she also refused all details. Female unsteady on her feet and smelt strongly of alcohol so was detained for bing drunk and disorderly. She claimed down shortly afterwards and was idispersed for a period of 24 hours and issued with a yellow card.
Occurrence address	44100349713	Theft	18/08/2010 03:32	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) INFT REPORTING THAT SHE HAS HAD HER GUCCI WRISTWATCH STOLEN OFF HER WRIST WHILST IN A NIGHTCLUB IN SOUTHAMPTON BY A PERSON UNSEEN AND UNFELT AS SHE WAS DANCING AT THE TIME
Occurrence address	44100341378	Theft	12/08/2010 14:04	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Agg'd placed open handbag on bar while paying for drinks. When she returned to her seat her camera was missing from the bag. No suspects seen. /
Occurrence address	44100322167	Theft	31/07/2010 04:37	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) INFT ENTERED THE CLUB AND WENT DANCING ON THE DANCE FLOOR. THE INFT PUT HER BAG ON THE FLOOR NEAR TO WHERE SHE WAS DANCING, AND SOON AFTER SHE PUT IT THERE, NOTICED IT WAS GONE. / THE INFTS PHONE, CARDS, AND DRIVING LICENSE WAS THEN FOUND OUTSIDE THE CLUB UP THE ROAD, BY MOP. THE PHONE AND CARDS WERE RETURNED TO INFT, HOWEVER HAS HAD HER BAG AND PURSE STOLEN.

Occurrence address	44100313907	Assault	26/07/2010 15:30	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) during public order incident at Club Rosso one of the doorstaff had his clothing grabbed and he was kicked.
Occurrence address	44100313937	Assault	26/07/2010 15:30	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) During public order incident at Club Rosso male was struck to the head twice
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100276003	Assault	03/07/2010 02:33	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) A group of males were ejected from Club Rosso, Town Quay. On leaving they assaulted and used poles against doorstaff. The victim tried to move poles out of the way so they could not be used and on doing so was pushed and punched twice by suspects causing a fractured cheek bone.
Occurrence address	44100271154	Theft	30/06/2010 15:26	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) BETWEEN TIMES SPECIFIED; UNKNOWN PERSONS BY UNKNOWN MEANS HAVE STOLEN AGGDS MOBILE PHONE FROM CLUB ROSSO WHILST ON A NIGHT OUT. /
Occurrence address	44100232075	Assault	06/06/2010 18:53	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Agg'd reporting she has been assaulted by a male known to her during an argument with a female, by means of striking her with his fist causing bruising to her right eye.
Occurrence address	44100231620	Theft	06/06/2010 11:52	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) BETWEEN STATED TIMES, PERSONS UNKNOWN HAVE STOLEN INFTS BAG FROM WHERE IT WAS LEFT ON A TABLE, IN THE VIP AREA OF A CLUB SHE WAS IN LAST NIGHT. /

Occurrence address	44100174695	Assault	30/04/2010 12:07	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) (VR) Father contacted police with regard to his son. He stated that he believed his son was assaulted by doorstaff at Club Rosso. No complaint has been made by the son.
Dispatch address; Occurrence address	44100134032	S27/30 Dispersal Order (Police Initiated)	04/04/2010 01:08	UNIT 2, TOWN QUAY SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 2AQ (CLUB ROSSO) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SW CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON WEST, Beat: 5SW02 WATERFRONT) Male section 27 dispersed from city centre after causing a disturbance outside a nightclub.

### Incidents at Club Rosso



**MINUTES OF THE MEETING: NOISE DISTURBANCE**  
**HELD ON FRIDAY, 18<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2011 AT 6.30 PM**  
**AT CLUB ROSSO, SOUTHAMPTON**

---

**THOSE PRESENT:**

Jonathan Lees	-	General Manager & DPS for venue (Licensee of venue) L & I Leisure
Clive Stafford	-	Business Manager/DJ
Daniel Marks	-	Operations Manager
Jim Turnstone	-	Head Doorman (in charge of security)
[REDACTED]	-	[REDACTED]
PC 20920 Natasha Prior	-	Violent Crime Reduction and Licensing Team, Southampton Central
PC 24084 Hayley Channell	-	Violent Crime Reduction and Licensing Team, Southampton Central
Mr R Black	-	Licensing Manager for Solicitor to the Council
[REDACTED]	-	[REDACTED]
Eight residents	-	[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] read out two letters of complaint received from owners in The Greenwich who were unable to attend the meeting. He mentioned that he was asked by the residents at their Annual General Meeting if a meeting could be arranged in order to discuss the problems being experienced with excessive noise, swearing, fighting etc between the hours of 12 midnight and 4.30 am.

It was reported that before the Club opened, they had to apply for two separate licences, one for the nightclub and another for the casino as well as statutory notices in order to ascertain whether there would be any objections from local residents and businesses. None were received.

Mr Black explained the Government (not local Council) procedure for anyone wishing to apply for a licence. An application is handed to the Licensing Authority and to all responsible authorities, Police, Fire, Environmental Health etc, as well as a notice being published in the Echo within ten days of the date of applying to the Licensing Authority. Notices have to be erected on the outside of the premises in clear view for all to see and briefly outline the proposals. The notice has to stay up for twenty-eight days and at the end of that period if there are no objections, then by law the licence is granted under the terms that it has been applied for.



If any problems or grievances are received, conditions are negotiated to try and ensure that they don't persist. Once a licence is granted, it can be reviewed at any time, but the review process is a last resort and any difficulties are usually dealt with by way of management consultation. However, in this situation this has not worked and that is why the meeting has been arranged.

A query was asked regarding the opening hours of the Club which are Monday-Sunday and alcohol is served until 3 am and at 4 am everyone has to leave the premises.

If a licence is applied for, it will be granted unless an objection is received. If there is an objection, the matter goes to a Hearing where after all matters are considered, a decision will be made as to whether the licence should be granted. If there are problems with particular premises, the matter will go back to a Hearing and all evidence heard before deciding whether the licence can continue as it had been applied for or changed ie hours reduced etc.

A review process has not yet started with regard to Club Rosso. Evidence has to be collected regarding the problems such as completing a log from the Environmental Health Department and keeping a record of noise nuisances. If the log is then submitted to Environmental Health, they may serve a Noise Abatement Notice.

Representatives from Club Rosso stated that the problems of people making noise in the early hours of the morning don't necessarily all come from the Club, as they have made observations from the Club to check. Students come from other areas within Town Quay and clientele leaving Le Margarita's also walk past The Greenwich.

One of the residents stated that for two weeks he kept a record and a pattern emerged and the noise always occurred between 1.30-2.15 am. Further discussion continued about possible solutions to the noise problems.

In order to move forward and help alleviate the noise it was asked how the Club's clientele could be moved from the premises, but not past certain residential areas. The Club confirmed that outside there is a taxi rank and generally there are two cars and sometimes more, but they cannot control where the taxis go and they tend to go to the busier parts of the city. The Club also stated that they don't allow anyone in who is intoxicated and if they become so inside the Club, they are removed.

Although people leaving the Club are reminded that they are in a residential area and asked to leave quietly, there can still be a problem with noise, shouting etc after they have left the premises. Therefore, a question was raised as to what could be done in the future to try and resolve the problem. Mr Black reiterated that the Environmental Health Department had sent logs to some residents which now need to be completed to produce evidence and this will then be looked at with regard to noise abatement. However, it will not necessarily always lead to a review, but it could lead to a Noise Abatement Notice being served on the premises. If this is breached, they could be fined £1,000. If the problem continues, Environmental Health can review the Club and look at noise abatement measures, reduction in hours, reduction in different types of entertainment etc.

If people come out of the Club, hang around on the pavement and make a lot of noise, this is a noise abatement issue, but once they have disbursed from the Club and go into the High Street, this becomes a Police issue. The Club confirmed it is doing everything it can to control its patrons leaving and the Police patrol the bottom of the High Street when the Club is closing. If the Police come across people causing a noise etc, they ask them where they have come from so they can keep a record.

PC 20920 Prior confirmed they have staff on late shifts during the week and the weekends in order to monitor the problems and are often called out to attend certain situations, otherwise they record complaints they receive. The City Council have various CCTV cameras situated around the area and the door team at Club Rosso are on a radio channel with the CCTV and also on the Police channel. If any problems are spotted by the CCTV operators, they will call the Police.

Club Rosso have cameras situated around their building. If the door team spot any potential problems with clientele as they make their way up the High Street, they will call the CCTV operators and ask them to ensure the cameras are pointing to that area. [REDACTED] suggested installing CCTV cameras on The Greenwich and he was advised that if this was for security reasons, it would not infringe the Data Protection Act. He asked the Club and the Police if troublemakers could be identified from pictures on the CCTV camera would they be acted upon. The Club advised that they would have no hesitation in banning anyone causing a nuisance and likewise the Police said they would take appropriate action.

[REDACTED] said he would report the matter to the Directors of the Management Company and obtain estimates for installing a CCTV camera facing the High Street and erecting the appropriate notices.

Further discussion took place, but it is quite obvious that the Licensing Authority will not take further action unless they are in receipt of detailed logs of complaints from residents and one of the major problems is ascertaining whether the person or people causing a nuisance have come from Club Rosso. Obviously any major disturbances must be reported immediately to the Police.

Finally, it was agreed that a further meeting should be held in say, three to four months to see whether the action being taken by Club Rosso had lead to a significant reduction in the noise problem. The Club were left in no doubt that the problem would be monitored closely and if there was not a significant improvement further action would be taken through Environmental Health and the Licensing Authority.

## Working Sheet

Hampshire Constabulary

Printed: 03/06/2011 13:37 by 20920

Occurrence: 44100059523 Z Prem Licence (Management Occurrence)

---

Author: #24084 CHANNELL, H. Report time: 23/02/2011 14:16  
Entered by: #24084 CHANNELL, H. Entered time: 23/02/2011 14:16  
Remarks: Letter received from resident following meeting

---

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 23 February 2011 12:09

To:

Cc: 5 OCU Licensing Mailbox; [REDACTED]

Subject: NOISE NUISANCE FROM CLUB ROSSO

Hello Jonathan

Many thanks for your hospitality last week. It was good to meet you and your team.

I am the one who lives directly opposite your club, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] At the meeting there was much talk of the dispersal issues and the noise generated by your guests going up the High Street at four in the morning.

I would like to draw your attention to the noise that disturbs me, not as your guests leave, but as they come out of your club for a cigarette or spot of fresh air, often between 1.30am and 2.30am. These are clearly your guests. They stand about 2 metres in front of your club. They are not to be confused with people leaving Margareters. I watch them come out of your front door and then the screaming and shouting starts.

I understand it is difficult for you to control what happens in the High Street, but this noise and unruly behaviour is going on right under the noses of your security staff. Please may I ask you to instruct your security staff to tell your more noisy revellers to keep the noise down as it affects your relationship with your neighbours, and ultimately, your License. This is something that is clearly within your control!

Many thanks again for the meeting and I look forward to a marked improvement in the noise levels directly outside your front entrance. Then my family and friends can sleep again.

Printed by: 20920 Date: 03/06/2011 13:37 Computer: SC238 Page 1 of 2

PS. Would you also ask your bar staff not to throw the empty bottles into the trade bins at 5.am. It sounds like an explosion in the still night air. Perhaps they could do it before the Club opens rather than when it closes.

Best regards

[REDACTED] (neighbour)

--

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



# WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of: [REDACTED] URN: | | |

Age if under 18: over 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Security NAP/CR/10

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 22/06/11

Name: [REDACTED]

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded  (supply witness details on rear)

I am the above named person and I was previously the head doorman at Club Rosso before leaving. I was at the club since around Easter 2010 and left in May 2011 so was at the premise for a year. Club Rosso has a capacity of 450 including staff so we only ever let in a maximum of 430 and now that number I believe has been dropped to about 400. There was always 8 doorman working there on a Saturday night and then sometimes more for a particular event. This is a good number of doorstaff to have considering the numbers for the premise. It doesn't feel like that much if something does happen particularly as I was unfortunate enough to be involved in an incident just after I started when a group who had been ejected had not taken kindly to it and tried to attack us. The layout of the premise can be difficult as the entrance is for people come in and exiting and paying as well as smokers coming in and out. I did find that when you asked people who hung around the entrance to move either inside and outside and they would sometimes say "do you know who I am". Some of the cliental are friends of the owner and his family or at least friendly with them so have the VIP area at which point can get quite arrogant.

The outside area can get extremely busy and as head doorman I did ask for better barriers, first to create the queuing and smoking area but mainly to protect the area that the doorstaff stand in so

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ witnessed by: .....



**WITNESS STATEMENT**

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1


that people could not come up from behind to either try and sneak in or assault us. The numbers that are outside were ok to manage for us as we've been on the door so we know who is smoking or queuing or part of which group. It can look at bit hectic for those who haven't been on the door. It is also impossible to change as a lot of people can decide to come out and smoke at once and we cannot say no, if it is an extremely busy night and there is a queue and a guest list then there can be a substantial amount outside. I remember once thinking that there could be up to 200 people. Trying to get them all into a smoking area might cause further problems as it would be a flash point.

Just before I left they introduce a new doorstaff policy and it did read that no-one could overrule doorstaff. I had been off the two weekends before that with illness and holiday and I am unaware of what had happened in those weekends to make that decision. Whilst at the premise I was never overruled by anyone including the management and directors of the premise. There was one director, [REDACTED] who would be outside the club the majority of the time and sometimes ejected or refused people who knew him would approach him to appeal the decision. On seeing this I would always step straight in and explained why they'd been refused or ejected and Justin would accept that and never asked me to reconsider. If he did ever ask the reason for an ejection it was so that he could remain friends with the person and say "well I'll ask" and that was the end of it. He never said to let anyone in or to change my mind.

Signature:

Witnessed by: .....





# WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

URN: | | |

Statement of: Jamie Lindley

Age if under 18: Over 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation: Police Constable NAP/CR/11

This statement (consisting of 3 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 25/6/11

Name: Jamie Lindley

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded  (supply witness details on rear)

I am PC 3942 Jamie Lindley of Hampshire Constabulary and I am currently serving with the City Centre Unit at Southampton Central Police Station.


On Saturday 18<sup>th</sup> June 2011 I was on duty in full uniform when I attended Club Rosso, Town Quay at the request of assistance of another police officer who was dealing with an assault allegation. When I arrived I decided to enter Club Rosso and review the CCTV to see if it could assist with the allegation. I spoke with one of the door staff to make this request who then escorted me into the venue. I followed the doorman and walked through the main foyer past the till point and then through the double doors to the venue.

As soon as I entered I was taken aback by the number of customers who appeared to be crammed into the venue, especially in the immediate vicinity of the main entrance/exit. I immediately felt vulnerable as there seemed to be a bad feeling about the place. Some of the customers looked unhappy and appeared to be in a bad mood. I felt the need to immediately put my custodian helmet back on to ensure that I was fully identifiable as a police officer. This was because I had to push through people to try and follow the door man and make my way to the security office. I sensed there was a bad atmosphere and I was concerned that someone may mistake me for another customer and perceive my actions of trying to squeeze through the crowd as shoving them etc. I was already wearing a high visibility jacket but I had removed my helmet upon initially entering the venue.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature witnessed by: \_\_\_\_\_





### WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Suddenly the doorman I was following turned around to me and started to try and come back in my direction. He was holding his finger up to one ear as if trying to listen intently on his earpiece and made reference to fighting. When I asked where he said the bar. We both tried to hurry towards the bar area but this was hampered by the volume of people around us. I then stopped and turned back to him because he was following me and I wanted to make sure I was heading in the right direction. The doorman had stopped. I asked him where the trouble was and he replied "I THINK IT'S OUTSIDE." My immediate thoughts were that it might be to do with the people that my colleagues had detained. I pushed my way back through to the main entrance and hurried outside.

When I got back outside I saw that there were no issues at all and my colleagues were just speaking to people normally. I turned to go back inside and realised the doorman I had been with had not followed me outside and I didn't know where he had gone.

I stood outside for a moment observing the venue and thinking that the door staff didn't seem to have full control of the venue. Although I was not aware of an incidents occurring at that precise moment, I felt that they would lose all control if something occurred. There didn't seem to be enough of them compared to the number of people crammed into the venue. I was also acutely aware of the number of people inside and was concerned that there were too many, especially around the internal entrance/exit doors. I decided to speak with the doorman to ask how many were inside. The doorman stated "399 but we try to hold it at around 400." I asked what the capacity of the venue was and he replied "450." I was surprised because my perception is that it's a small venue.

At this point there was only this one person managing the front door where customers enter and exit to use the smoking area. I felt he was quite easily distracted and saw one person enter without him really taking much notice. I went back inside the foyer to go back inside. I stood in the foyer and then decided against going back inside as it was too busy. I also felt that I didn't want to occupy one of the door staff because they seemed to have there hands full trying to manage their customers. While stood in the foyer I saw someone enter and then loiter against the wall in the foyer. After a short time, approximately one minute this person was eventually moved out of the foyer by door staff.

My overall impression of the staff was that they didn't seem to be in total control or fully understand what was happening around them. The fact that there was an apparent incident and the doorman with me had no idea where it was happening highlights this. The poor management of the entrance and foyer meant it wasn't clear at times/with people loitering. I felt that there were far too many people in very close proximity

Signature:



2006/07(1)

..... Signature witnessed by: .....







# WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

## For Police and Prosecution Only

URN: | | |

### Witness contact details

Home Address:

Post Code :

Home Telephone No:

Work Telephone No:

Mobile / Pager No:

Email address:

Preferred means of contact *(specify details)*:

Best time of contact *(specify details)*:

Gender:

Date and Place of Birth:

Former name:

Ethnicity  
Code:

Religion /  
Belief:

### DATES OF WITNESS NON-AVAILABILITY:

### Witness Care

- a) Is the witness willing and likely to attend court?  
If 'No', include reason(s) on form MG6. Yes  No
- b) What can be done to ensure attendance?
- c) Does the witness require a Special Measures Assessment as a vulnerable or  
intimidated witness? If 'Yes' submit MG2 with file. Yes  No
- d) Does the witness have any particular needs? Yes  No   
If 'Yes' what are they? *(Healthcare, childcare, transport, disability, language difficulties, visually impaired, restricted mobility or other concerns?)*

### Witness Consent (for witness completion)

- a) The Victim Personal Statement scheme (victims only) has been explained to me: Yes  No
- b) I have been given the Victim Personal Statement leaflet Yes  No
- c) I have been given the leaflet 'Giving a witness statement to the police - what happens  
next?' Yes  No
- d) I consent to police having access to my medical record(s) in relation to this matter  
*(obtained in accordance with local practice):* Yes  No  N/A
- e) I consent to my medical record in relation to this matter being disclosed to the  
defence: Yes  No  N/A
- f) I consent to the statement being disclosed for the purposes of civil proceedings if  
applicable e.g. child care proceedings, CICA: Yes  No  N/A
- g) The information recorded above will be disclosed to the Witness Service so that they can  
offer help and support, unless you ask them not to. Tick this box to decline their services:

Signature of witness:

PRINT NAME

Signature of parent / guardian /  
appropriate adult:

PRINT NAME

Address and telephone  
number if different from above:

Statement taken by:

Station:

Time and place statement  
taken:

Signature: ..... Signature witnessed by: .....



## Hampshire Constabulary

### Chief Constable Alex Marshall

██████████  
 Club Rosso  
 Unit 2  
 Town Quay  
 Southampton  
 SO14 2AQ

Police Licensing Unit  
 Southampton Central Police Station  
 Havelock Road  
 Southampton  
 Hampshire  
 SO14 7LG

**Our ref:** 44100059523  
**Your ref:**

**Telephone:** 0845 045 45 45  
**Direct dial:** 023 8067 4768  
**Fax No:** 023 8059 9943

**Deaf/speech impaired minicom:** 01962 875000  
**Email:** [southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk](mailto:southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk)

9<sup>th</sup> November 2010

Mr ████████

This letter is in reference to a recent licensing meeting that took place on the 8<sup>th</sup> November 2010 at 1600 hours at the Southampton City Council Licensing department which you need to be aware of. The main focus of the meeting was to discuss the complaints from residents received at the police licensing team and the city council. These were read out in full to ensure that everyone was fully aware of what was being complained about.

In response to the letters we were told that the venue does not have any cheap drinks or drink promotions; except on a Tuesday night where some house spirits are £2 but everything else is around £3.50. We were told that the dress code for the venue is smart casual and although trainers were allowed the security team used the dress code to turn away undesirables.

A discussion arose regarding whether it was expected that venue staff would follow people all the way up the road. In relation to this we would advise if customers are ejected; turned away or likely to cause problems then alert the City Council CCTV controller, via the licensing link radios, to get the persons monitored. The venue responsibility was spoken about at length and it needs to be made clear that there is a responsibility on the venue for the 'prevention of public nuisance' and that the residents would argue that without the venue there would be a large reduction in public nuisance. At this stage we have called the meeting to address the problems and discuss what the venue does to minimise them.

Also in response to the complaints it was pointed out to us that the times being complained about was between 0130-0230 hours and that perhaps it was other venues customers that were responsible (although it should be noted that one of the residents does complaint about 0200-0300). We accept that it is difficult to identify exactly who comes from where but the residents are stating that before the venue opened there was not this level of problem. I explained that we needed to look at what the venue was doing to ensure the management of its own customers rather than argue where people might have come from. Throughout the meeting various times and statistics were quoted at us. We were told that it was unlikely to be Club Rosso customers (except those ejected) because the peak times in the venue were between 0100-0200 hours after which sales at the bar decrease until service stops at 0300 hours. Then the customers are given 20 minutes after which the music is changed to encourage people to leave. Later we were given figures that the venue has a capacity of 450 and on a



## Hampshire Constabulary Chief Constable Alex Marshall

Saturday night 650 people could come through the doors. This would indicate that despite claims that almost all customers stay until 0310-0330 hours; at least 200 had left at some point throughout the evening.

It was explained to us that the security team direct customers to the taxis; actively encourage them to leave by taxi and provide taxi cards to assist with this. We were clearly told that 90% of customers left by taxi at the end of the night and that it happened quickly, smoothly and well within the 30 minute dispersal time. Earlier in the meeting the comment had been made that "theres not much we can do if there are no taxi's" which clearly conflicts with this smooth dispersal idea. The City Council were very clear about the numbers of taxi's available at Town Quay including private hire would indicate that it is not as easy and quick as we were being told if all the customers in the venue are really staying until the end of the night.

The meeting moved on to talk about the clear conditions on the licence included to manage with the wind down of the venue and dispersal.

One condition states that signs must be displayed to advice customers to leave quietly; we were told that these signs were up and of the positions of the signs. Our advice would be that the security team reinforce the signs by advising people as they leave. I suggested lollipops to keep them quiet but was told you had tried this and it was not effective as customers just did not want them. Another suggestion is a designated 'bar nanny' who can collect glasses but is actually looking for those who have had too much to drink and need to be removed from the venue.

Other conditions including the DJ announcement, the music volume, the lighting levels and the bar staff switching roles were discussed but there seemed some hesitation on what did happen when. We were told that the DJ 'didn't always' do announcements. This is a condition on the licence and needs to be adhered to. We were told that the music type changed at around 0320 hours and that the lighting did go up and that barstaff started clearing up glasses but it was unclear at what time and to what level all this occurred. These are all licence conditions and if you are not operating them then you are in breach of your licence and if that continues action will be taken. Hampshire Constabulary and Southampton City Council will both look to monitor the dispersal at the venue and conduct licensing visits where necessary to see if it is being adhered to.

As you are aware, Southampton Police are committed to working with the licensees in supporting the four licensing objective i.e. --

- The prevention of crime & disorder
- Public safety
- The prevention of public nuisance
- The protection of children from harm

We hope to continue our working relationship with Club Rosso and look forward to hearing from you soon in relation to the concerns that have been raised. I would ask that on you e-mail me and let me know whether you are willing to have a meeting with the local residents. Thank you again for your continued support.

Yours Sincerely



**Hampshire Constabulary**  
Chief Constable Alex Marshall

PC 20920 PRIOR  
Violent Crime Reduction & Licensing Team  
Southampton Central Police Station



## Hampshire Constabulary

Chief Constable Alex Marshall

██████████  
 Club Rosso  
 Unit 2  
 Town Quay  
 Southampton  
 SO14 2AQ

Police Licensing Unit  
 Southampton Central Police Station  
 Havelock Road  
 Southampton  
 Hampshire  
 SO14 7LG

Our ref: 44100059523  
 Your ref:

Telephone: 0845 045 45 45

Direct dial: 023 8067 4768

Fax No: 023 8059 9943

Deaf/speech impaired minicom: 01962 875000

Email: [southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk](mailto:southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk)

25th November 2010

Mr ██████████

This letter is in reference to the meeting held today at Club Rosso. In attendance at the meeting was yourself, your father ██████████, your head of security ██████████, one of the staff members ██████████ and myself with ██████████.

After speaking about the event on Friday night and the Ion Track operation we discussed the letter that I had sent you regarding a previous licensing meeting. You clarified that you had responded via your solicitor as that is how you operate and that you disagreed with certain points in the letter so wanted to see the minutes. It was explained that for licensing meetings like that we do not set an agenda or take minutes. The meeting was not to take enforcement action against you, if we were doing that it would be by way of a review and then all the paperwork would be extremely detailed. The meeting was arranged to highlight the complaints that had been made and look at what actions you took as a venue for dispersal and how the licensing objectives are supported. The letter is sent by ourselves just to clarify what had been discussed and what actions we intend to take.

The first concern you had was that the meeting was arranged when you were away and we explained that the city council arranged the meeting and that the reason we attended was because we had also received letters of complaints from residents. ██████████ had clearly made a mistake in identifying one of the persons there as either ██████████ or ██████████ when in fact I was the only police officer at the meeting; the other two persons being ██████████ and ██████████ from the city council. At which point you stated you wanted another meeting arranged with them in particular to answer questions on the taxi conversation that occurred.

You raised the point that the DJ section on the licence stated "may" and therefore not doing it is not a breach in licence. I accept that is what the condition reads but the point I was making was about the section on the licence that starts "a dispersal procedure will be adopted... this will include" and has a list underneath it which the DJ announcement includes. In meeting I had been trying to clarify what the full inside and outside dispersal procedure was and I did feel that the responses were stalled. You felt that by me writing 'hesitant' in the letter gave a negative connotation to the venue. I felt that at the meeting the answers were hesitant and that did give me the impression that they were unsure on what the procedures were. Again you raised that you should have been there to answer those



**Hampshire Constabulary**  
Chief Constable Alex Marshall

questions and we agree with that and advise that if your staff don't know the answer they are more than welcome to refer us to you.

Another part of the letter mentioned the dispersal of 200 persons and you wanted to make sure we understood that those people went between Margaritas and your venue as there are people who switch between the venues.

Other parts of the previous meeting were discussed with regards to the resident's complaints and your father reiterated that 90% of customers come in a car or taxi and the other 10% are local residents so walk home. He explained that he is there every night and assists with dispersal and monitors how many go home on foot and which way they go. He also showed photographs of taxis waiting at the rank for customers. I had said at the previous meetings that as a venue you need to make sure you record what you do so that should the residents take you to review you have the evidence. I emphasise again that we are highlighting that the residents are stating that they are your customers; we cannot prove that they are at this time so we were just asking you what you do in relation to your customers.

The other thing raised in the letter was the 'club nanny' and lollipops and you stated that you are on board with the lollipop idea and that you do hand them out to any customers that want them. In relation to the club nanny you think that it is unnecessary as you have staff who perform that role. To clarify that was just a suggestion made during decision in the meeting and hence was recorded on the letter.

As with the previous letter our actions will be to monitor your dispersal and conduct licensing visits.

Yours Sincerely

PC 20920 PRIOR  
Violent Crime Reduction & Licensing Team  
Southampton Central Police Station



## Hampshire Constabulary

### Chief Constable Alex Marshall

Police Licensing Unit  
 Southampton Central Police Station  
 Southern Road  
 Southampton  
 Hampshire  
 SO15 1AN

██████████  
 Club Rosso  
 Unit 2  
 Town Quay  
 Southampton  
 SO14 2AQ

**Telephone:** 0845 045 45 45  
**Direct dial:** 023 8067 4768

**Our ref:** 44100059523  
**Your ref:**

**Deaf/speech impaired minicom:** 01962 875000  
**Email:** [southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk](mailto:southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk)

18<sup>th</sup> March 2011

Mr ██████

This letter is in reference to the meeting held at Club Rosso on the 15<sup>th</sup> March 2011 with myself, PS ██████, yourself and ██████, ██████ and ██████ were asked to attend but due to sickness were not at the meeting and therefore ██████ was acting on their behalf. This meeting was arranged after the incident at the weekend where a member of doorstaff was hit in the head with a glass when ejecting a male from the club. On viewing the CCTV we were concerned about the level of force used by the member of doorstaff. The CCTV footage shows he had his knee across the throat of the detained male on the floor, it shows him punching the detained male and also knee striking the male all of which was directed in the head, face and shoulder area. In response to this you stated that the member of doorstaff after the initial restraint should have been taken off for treatment and therefore not been in that situation. ██████ stated that the member of staff had told him about the knee strike and that it was used to get the male to release his arm which he was holding under his body. We explained that was not in his statement. We also pointed out that the doorstaff being outside with his shirt off looked more like posturing and could be taken as an aggressive stance although we accept that he states he took the shirt off to stem the blood. Ultimately whilst he was stood outside topless he was then assaulted by another male punching him. This led onto a discussion around a second member of doorstaff who had then responded to this second assault by punching the offender. Although this can be viewed as self defence he does punch the male as the male is retreating backwards and could be viewed as excessive. PS ██████ advised that it is up to you to discuss with ██████ and ██████ regarding the action to be taken against the doorstaff; we do not advise any direct course of action but give example options of warning, training, suspension or dismissal but that if nothing is done and this type of incident occurs again then we would view that inaction as poor management. You were suggesting that you might have him work at other venues for a time period as a break from the premise and have some additional training. We asked that you discussed it through with ██████ and ██████ and then let us know the final decision and you reassured us that something would be done. ██████ stated that he would be giving refresher training to all his regular doorstaff.

We then asked to see the incident book and were shown that incidents are logged but that it was only a line or two to state what had occurred at what time and no description of what. Advised that



**Hampshire Constabulary**  
Chief Constable Alex Marshall

incidents should be detailed with what force was used to remove someone and why. If it is a simple walk out or escort hold then minimal details are required but where force is used it should be recorded. Also if it is an incident where a statement is given to police then that can be recorded as a reference – as long as force used is detailed in the statement. [REDACTED] stated that he kept his own book for his details like that which also had refusals in it. We also advised that a tally of ejections be kept for the night so that you can show good work, similar to the refusals tally.

It was then discussed that this recent incident was the second glassing incident, following an ejection on New Years Eve where the ejected male threw a glass back towards the door resulting in an injury to a female. We asked whether you had considered switching to polycarbonate. You stated that your glass collectors were well trained and that although there is a safety aspect to it you feel the doorstaff require training as both incidents occurred on ejection. You pointed out that there has not been a glassing in the premise. We advised that should another glassing occur then we would be seeking polycarbonate.

Also discussed was the night that [REDACTED] attended the premise after a local concert you had a number of police attendances at the premise. You advised that you expected it to be a busy night and that the number of doorstaff had been increased to address this. I asked why our department had not been informed as we would then have made the officer in charge of that nights resources aware. You explained that a TENS had been submitted and that this would have included the event on there. The TENS submitted only states “extended hours” as the event and therefore gave no indication of the size of the event. You agreed that in the future you’ll make sure the nature of the event is put on the TENS so that we can assess it from there.

Whilst we were there you updated us that you are working with the residents and that where possible you are addressing those issues. I pointed out that from the footage we’d just viewed there was a substantial amount of people outside the front of the premise. [REDACTED] explained that was the busiest time with people queuing and the guest list had finished but people still tried to persuade the team to let them in. With regards to the smoking area he stated that this has now got barriers up to keep the door area more sterile with smokers coming out of the club round the barrier and then into the area but that the numbers of the smokers are not limited as people would take exception to this and leave.

I asked for an update on the CCTV system as previously it was only recording for 5-10 days worth of footage which is a breach of your licence condition. You and I had discussed this just before the residents meeting on the 18/02/11 and you stated this was the first you were aware of it though I explained that an officer had discovered this when requesting footage from your manager. At that time you said you would have someone out in the week to fix it. As an update to this you’ve informed us that last week a new hard drive had been put in and that this should store the required 28 days but because it was only put in last week it would only show footage back to when it was installed. We agreed that this would be checked at later meetings / visits.

Yours Sincerely

PC 20920 PRIOR  
Violent Crime Reduction & Licensing Team  
Southampton Central Police Station



**Mr Alain P Ridley**

44 The Greenwich  
Gloucester Square  
Southampton SO14 2GJ  
Te  
Mobi

e.m:

Southampton City Council Licensing Dept  
PO Box 1344  
Southampton SO15 1WQ

6 July 2011

Dear Sirs

**Club Rosso Review**

With reference the imminent review meeting regarding the above we would like to submit our thoughts and enclose copies of relevant incident sheets, which were provided by Environmental Health.

Since March 2010 we have been disturbed every weekend and often on Tuesday night/Wednesday mornings by revellers leaving Club Rosso particularly between the hours of 03.00 and 05.00.

Invariably they are very drunk, shouting, screaming and arguing when they leave Club Rosso and then they pass our apartment block and on up the High Street. The language is appalling and we have reported this behaviour on many occasions by calling 101.


We find this situation quite unacceptable as this is a residential area and we cannot understand how a night club which is not serving food, can be granted a licence until 04.00. The management have had ample opportunity to rectify the situation but to date nothing has changed.

We do hope that you will take note of our comments and that you will do whatever is necessary to alleviate the problem and enable us to sleep at night!

Yours faithfully,

Alain P Ridley

Susan Ridley



**STATUTORY NOISE NUISANCE RECORD**

**CASE OFFICER: Elaine Jeffery**      **CASE NO: 11/00818/NOIS7**

YOUR DETAILS	PERPETRATORS DETAILS
Name: Alain P Ridley	Name: Club Rosso
Address: Flat 44 The Greenwich Gloucester Square Southampton	Address: Harbour House Town Quay Southampton SO14 2AQ
com	

Please complete this form for a 2-4 week period.  
 Only record incidents of noise that cause a nuisance to you.  
 Observations should be for a 15-20 minute period, please do not record 2-3 minute incidents of noise.

DAY	DATE	START	FINISH	INTERMITTENT OR CONSTANT	TYPE OF NUISANCE	AFFECT
e.g. Monday	1/7/99	12pm	2.30am	Constant	Loud music	Kept awake
Sun	27/3	02.15	05.00	intermittent	Shouting & Screaming	kept awake
Wed	6/04 (RANG 101)	04.00	05.00	"	"	"
Sun	10/4	03.45	04.15	"	"	"
SUN (AWAY)	17/4	02.30	05.00	"	"	"
Mon. (AWAY - 5 - 10 MAY)	2/5	02.30	03.30	"	"	"
Wed	11/5	03.00	03.30	"	"	"
Sun	15/5	02.30	04.00	(RANG 101)	"	"

15/5/11.

**STATUTORY NOISE NUISANCE RECORD**

<b>CASE OFFICER: Elaine Jeffery</b>	<b>CASE NO: 11/00818/NOIS7</b>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------

<b>YOUR DETAILS</b>	<b>PERPETRATORS DETAILS</b>
Name: Alain P Ridley	Name: Club Rosso
Address: Flat 44 The Greenwich Gloucester Square Southampton SO14 2AQ	Address: Harbour House Town Quay Southampton SO14 2AQ
Tel:	

Please complete this form for a 2-4 week period.  
 Only record incidents of noise that cause a nuisance to you.  
 Observations should be for a 15-20 minute period, please do not record 2-3 minute incidents of noise.


<b>DAY</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>START</b>	<b>FINISH</b>	<b>INTERMITTENT OR CONSTANT</b>	<b>TYPE OF NUISANCE</b>	<b>AFFECT</b>
e.g. Monday	1/7/99	12pm	2.30am	Constant	Loud music	Kept awake
Sat.	5/2/11	3 am	5 am	intermittent	shouting	Kept awake
Sun	6/2/11	3 am	3.30 am	"	+ screaming	Kept awake
Sat	12/2/11	3.10am	4.00am	"	"	"
Sat/Sun	13/2/11	3.00am	4.00	"	"	"
Wed	16/2/11	02.30	3.00	"	"	"
Sat/Sun	19/2/11	02.50	04.15	"	"	"
Thurs.	3/3/11	03.30	04.00	"	"	"
Wed	16/3/11	2.15	3.40	"	"	"
(AWAY 10 DAYS)						

DAY	DATE	START	FINISH	INTERMITTENT OR CONSTANT	TYPE OF NUISANCE	AFFECT
Wed	18/5/11	3.30	4.15	Intermittent	Shouting + Screaming	Went awake
SUN	29/5/11	12.45	03.00	"	"	"
MON	30/5/11	02.30	04.30	"	"	"
(AWAY - 31/5 → 9/6/11)						
SUN	12/6/11	04.00	05.00	"	"	"
WED	15/6/11	03.15	04.00	"	"	"

I certify that the above record is a true statement of the nuisance complained of.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_



The Greenwich & The Albany  
Gloucester Square  
Southampton  
SO14 2GJ

Southampton City Council Licensing Department  
PO Box 1344,  
Southampton,  
SO15 1WQ

**Ref: Licence Review of Club Rosso**

Dear Sirs

We, the Directors of the Winkle Street Management Company, are writing with regard to the review of the licence of Club Rosso and evidence submitted by PC 20920 PRIOR of Violent Crime Reduction and Licensing Team, Southampton Central.

The Directors of Winkle Street Management Company are empowered to speak and act on behalf of the 60 residents/owners/tenants of the apartment blocks known as The Greenwich and The Albany which are located at the bottom of the High Street, opposite Club Rosso.

**We wish to register in the strongest possible terms our objection to the ongoing licence of these premises as a nightclub due to the statutory noise nuisance caused to residents, by customers leaving these premises in the early hours of the morning.**

We would like to make the following points:

- This Club opened in spring 2010, before that time no problems were experienced with noise from the street.
- Since Club Rosso opened residents have regularly to endure severe noise nuisance. At weekends it is a regular event for residents to be kept awake or continually awoken from about 2-4.30 am when the club's customers finally leave the area.
- The noise consists of loud singing shouting fighting, and worse- for example on 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> July in the early hours of the morning, a road works sign left by council workers was used- two nights running- as a drum!
- It seems that currently the club does not open on Monday, Wednesday, and Thursday. On these nights no disturbances are experienced. On Tuesday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday the noise nuisance disturbances are regular and unacceptable in a residential area of long standing.

- The noise nuisance causes regular poor and broken sleep which results in poor health for some residents.
- The proximity of this club and the issues it causes in the locale has also detrimentally affected the price of our properties we are informed- by local estate agents.
- Many residents have been in contact with the Environmental Health Department, and have kept Noise Diaries, but we are informed that as the noise is not from within the premises the problems can only be tackled via a licence review.

We have engaged with the management of the Club, and have met with them in recent months. We were assured that their efforts to move their clients quietly away from the premises would be increased to the point where the door staff would supervise customers leaving and walking quietly up the High St. This is clearly not happening and we have no faith that any offers by the management to re-address the problems will be successful.

We would respectfully suggest that the licence of these premises is withdrawn totally.

Yours faithfully

THE DIRECTORS  
Winkle Street Management Company

**Jeffery, Andy**

**From:** Jeffery, Andy  
**Sent:** 15 July 2011 12:44  
**To:**  
**Subject:** Club Rosso, Review

Dear Sirs,

**REF Review Club Rosso, Town Quay, Southampton, SO14 2AQ**

Thank you for your letter which we received on 14<sup>th</sup> July 2011. We note that you say you are empowered to speak and act on behalf of the 60 residents/owners/tenants of the apartment blocks known as The Greenwich and The Albany.

Would it be possible for you to supply the full name and address of these 60 residents? I await your appreciated reply as soon as possible.

Regards

**Andy Jeffery**  
Licensing Officer  
Legal & Democratic Services  
Southampton City Council

'phone: 023 8083 4209  
fax: 023 8083 4061  
e-mail: andy.jeffery@southampton.gov.uk  
web: www.southampton.gov.uk/licensing  
post: Licensing - Southampton City Council  
PO Box 1344, Southampton. SO15 1WQ

*Please Note: - This email is confidential but may have to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Data Protection Act 1998 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004. If you are not the person or organisation it was meant for, apologies, please ignore it, delete it and notify us. SCC does not make legally binding agreements or accept formal notices/proceedings by email. E-mails may be monitored. **This e-mail (and its attachments) is intended only for the use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information which is privileged and/or confidential. If it has come to you in error you must take no action based on it, nor must you copy or show it to anyone***

**From:** Lex Bancroft [  
**Sent:** 28 July 2011 17:55  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Club Rosso  
Afternoon,

I write in reference to the review of Club Rosso and the effect on our business due to public nuisance.

I currently manage Town Quay and in addition to a number of complaints, I have recently lost business as a direct result of Club Rosso.

Nick Kennedy (cc'd in) recently joined Town Quay Marina and shortly left as a direct result of the nuisance caused by the club. Nick has now taken his boat to the River Hamble and out of the City due to himself and his family being kept awake until 5am on several occasions by Club Rosso customers.

Nick and his family, amongst my other berth holders, have been subject to shouting, screaming and swearing from Club Rosso customers till 5am and this is the primary reason he has left. Nick had joined the marina for 1 year and left after being with us for several weeks.

Nic has informed me that he would be pleased to return to the marina on an annual basis if Club Rosso was shut down. Nick also commented that the noise undoubtedly came from Club Rosso and had nothing to do with La Margherita. He and other berth holders have informed me that they have not experienced problems of nuisance and disturbance coming from La Margherita.

The yachting world is a small one and I am extremely concerned about the future of the marina if Club Rosso is not restricted. It will not take long for other boat owners to hear about the problems with the Club and it cause them to join elsewhere. I am also concerned that I may loose more of my existing customers.

In addition to the problems in the marina I have some major safety concerns for the public coming out of the Club. This week we had two men walk to the end of the Quay, climb over the barriers that are clearly signed to show no access for them to then climb on the navigation tower. Thankfully we have staff here 24/7 and one of my assistants was able to get them back over the barriers and into the car park. The men had clearly been drinking copious amounts of alcohol.

Nick is happy to be contacted regarding this matter. Email is above and telephone (

If not already clear, I strongly believe that the Club's hours need to be restricted at the very least. Closure would be the best solution.

Please also note that the Club is now opening it's back doors which face on to the marina encouraging their customers to roam around the waterside. This is incredibly dangerous considering the state many of them are in.

Please keep me updated with the review.

Regards,

**Lex Bancroft**  
**Town Quay Manager**

Associated British Ports  
Management Office  
Town Quay  
Southampton  
SO14 2AQ

T 07000 004 007 M  
[www.abports.co.uk](http://www.abports.co.uk)