

Reference: 2011/02860/01SRAP

Hearing:

12th January 2012

Application for Review of Premises Licence

| | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Premises Name: | Clovelly Post Office And Stores | Application Date: | 23rd November 2011 |
| Premises Address: | 110 St. Mary's Road Southampton SO14 0AN | Application Received Date: | 23rd November 2011 |
| | | Application Valid Date: | 23rd November 2011 |



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Southampton City Council Licence No. 100019679 2007.

Representation From Responsible Authorities

| Responsible Authority | Satisfactory? | Comments |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Child Protection Services - Licensing | No response received | |
| Hampshire Fire And Rescue - Licensing | No response received | |
| Environmental Health - Licensing | Yes | |
| Planning & Sustainability - Building Control - Licensing | Yes | |
| Planning & Sustainability - Development Control - Licensing | Yes | |
| Police - Licensing | No | See attached representation |
| Trading Standards - Licensing | Yes | |

Other Representations

| Name | Address | Contributor Type |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| None | | |

Legal Implications

1. Part 3 of the Licensing Act 2003 provides that a responsible authority of a resident or business in the vicinity (interested party) may apply for review of a premises licence.
2. The grounds of review applications must relate to one or more of the licensing objectives.
3. In such circumstances, the applicant for the review must serve a copy of the review application on the holder of the premises licences, the City Council and each of the responsible authorities.
4. On receipt of the application for review, the officers will consider its validity, under delegated powers. Reasons for rejection, in whole or in part, include:
 - that the grounds for review are not relevant to one or more of the licensing objectives and;
 - (in the case of an application not made by a responsible authority), that the application is frivolous, vexatious or repetitious.
5. The City Council must, within one day of receiving the application for review, display a prescribed notice of the review application on the outside or adjacent the premises; the notice must remain on display for 28 days and any interested party in the vicinity or the responsible authorities may make representations in that period.
6. Unless the applicant, licence holder, interested parties and responsible authorities agree that a hearing is unnecessary, the City Council is then required to hold a hearing to consider the review.
7. The sub-committee, in considering the application for review, must have regard to the adopted Statement of Licensing Policy and evidence before it at the hearing.
8. The Licensing Act 2003 provides that, in determining an application for review, the sub-committee may take any (or none) of the following steps, as it considers necessary:
 - modify the conditions of the licence;
 - exclude a licensable activity from the scope of the licence;
 - remove the designated premises supervisor;
 - suspend the licence for a period not exceeding three months;
 - revoke the licence.
9. The Licensing Act 2003 makes provision for appeal to the Southampton Magistrates' Court against the sub-committee's decision in relation to an application for review.
10. In considering this application the sub-committee will sit in a quasi-judicial capacity and is thus obliged to consider applications in accordance with both the Licensing Act 2003 (Hearings) Regulations 2005, and amending secondary legislation and the rules of natural justice. The practical effect of this is that the sub-committee must make its decision based on evidence submitted in accordance with the legislation and give adequate reasons for reaching its decision.
11. Copies of the application for review and the Police objection are annexed to this report.
12. The sub-committee must also have regard to:-
13. *Crime and Disorder Act 1998*

Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 places the Council under a duty to exercise its various functions with due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of those functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent, crime and disorder in its area.

14. Human Rights Act 1998

The Act requires UK legislation to be interpreted in a manner consistent with the European Convention on Human Rights. It is unlawful for the Council to act in a way that is incompatible (or fail to act in a way that is compatible) with the rights protected by the Act. Any action undertaken by the Council that could have an effect upon another person's Human Rights must be taken having regard to the principle of proportionality - the need to balance the rights of the individual with the rights of the community as a whole. Any action taken by the Council which affect another's rights must be no more onerous than is necessary in a democratic society. The matters set out in this report must be considered in light of the above obligations.



Hampshire Constabulary
Chief Constable Alex Marshall

Southampton City Council
Southbrook Rise.
4-8 Millbrook Road East,
Southampton.
SO15 1YG

Southampton Central Police Station
Southern Road
Southampton
Hampshire
SO15 1AN

Our ref:
Your ref:

Telephone: 0845 045 45 45
Direct dial: 023 8067 4768
Fax No: 08453660037
Deaf/speech impaired minicom: 01962 875000
Email: southampton.licensing@hampshire.pnn.police.uk

18th November 2011

Dear Sir,

On behalf of Hampshire Constabulary, I submit the following review application in relation to a premises licence for Clovelly Post Office and Stores.


The premises in question is situated in a cumulative impact zone, which you, as the council have recognised and implemented into your policy. The cumulative impact policy was introduced by you because of the key findings and recommendations of a police report. This report identified that there were three areas in which there is a record of violent offending that is disproportionately high in terms of the city as a whole. It identified the following-

- The peak times for violence are in keeping with peak times for the night-time economy
- Each of these locations record an above average level of offences flagged as having been influenced by alcohol.
- In terms of offences where a premise is directly involved, all three locations record multiple offences.
- The overall trend with regard to these offences is either steady or upward.
- Both the Above Bar and Bedford Place/London Road areas also record a disproportionately high level of criminal damage.

Following this report, Southampton City Council took the responsible action of implementing a policy covering the three areas of concern to be used as a tool to control violent crime and alcohol related offending. As I am sure your aware your own statement of licensing policy states that under the Licensing Act 2003 you have a duty to promote the four licensing objectives which are-

- The prevention of crime and disorder
- Public safety

www.hampshire.police.uk





Hampshire Constabulary
Chief Constable Alex Marshall

- The prevention of public nuisance and
- The protection of children from harm

Further to this, Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes an obligation on every police authority and local authority to do all that it can to reasonably prevent –

- crime and disorder in its area including anti-social and other behaviour adversely affecting the local environment; and
- the misuse of drugs, alcohol and other substances in its area.

The premises is currently failing in its duty to promote the licensing objectives as outlined in the review application, therefore I submit this application to review the premises licence.

Yours faithfully,

 PC 24288 HARRIS

Violent Crime & Licensing Department
Southampton

www.hampshire.police.uk



**Application for the review of a
Premises licence or Club Premises certificate
under the Licensing Act 2003**

Before completing this form, please refer to FPP 07001 (Licensing (Licensing Act 2003))

I PC 24288 HARRIS, on behalf of the Chief Officer of Hampshire Constabulary,
(Insert name of applicant)

- ☒ Apply for the review of a premises licence.
☐ Apply for the review of a club premises certificate.
(Select as applicable)

Premises or Club Premises details

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Postal address of premises: | Clovelly Post Office And Stores 110 St. Marys Road Southampton |
| Postcode (if known): | SO14 0AN |

| |
|---|
| Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate (if known) Meten Lakhani |
|---|

| |
|--|
| Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known) |
|--|

Details of responsible authority applicant

| |
|--|
| Mr <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mrs <input type="checkbox"/> Miss <input type="checkbox"/> Ms <input type="checkbox"/> Other title / Rank: PC |
| Surname: HARRIS First Names: Jon |
| Current postal address : Southampton police station Southern Road Southampton |
| Postcode: SO15 1AN |
| Daytime telephone number: 02380 674768 |
| E-mail address: (optional) |

Hampshire Constabulary is a responsible authority and the applicant has the delegated authority of the Chief Officer of Police in respect of his responsibilities under the Licensing Act 2003





**Application for the review of a
Premises licence or Club Premises certificate
under the Licensing Act 2003**

This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)

*Select one or more
boxes*

- | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1) The prevention of crime and disorder | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2) Public safety | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3) The prevention of public nuisance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4) The protection of children from harm | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Please state the grounds for review which must be based on one or more of the licensing objectives together with supporting information:

This review is brought by Hampshire Constabulary as a responsible authority under the Licensing Act 2003, because of a series of failures in the management, of which impact on all of the licensing objectives as highlighted above.

On the basis of the evidence outlined below, Hampshire Constabulary would wish to achieve the following:

CCTV

The premises shall have sufficient cameras located within the premises to cover all public areas including outside of the premises covering the entrance and exit. The system should be able to cope with strobe lighting and all levels of illumination throughout the premises as well as outside areas.

The CCTV system must be operating at all times whilst the premises are open for licensable activity.

All equipment shall have a constant and accurate time and date generation.

There shall be sufficient members of trained staff available during operating hours to be able to provide viewable copies on request of the Police or local authority. Any images recovered must be in a viewable format on either disc or VHS.

The recording system will be able to capture a minimum of 4 frames per second.

Records must be made on a weekly basis and kept for inspection to show that the system is functioning correctly and that data is being securely retained.

CCTV warning signs to be fitted in public places.

Recordings to be kept for a minimum of 28 days.

The DPS or premises manager must be able to demonstrate that the CCTV system has measures to prevent recordings being tampered with, i.e. password protected.



**Application for the review of a
Premises licence or Club Premises certificate
under the Licensing Act 2003**

In the event of technical failure of the CCTV equipment the Premises Licence holder/DPS MUST report the failure to the Southampton Police Licensing Unit.

Incident book

An incident book will be provided and maintained at the premises and there will be a daily debrief of door staff (where used) at the close of business which will be evidenced in writing by the Manager on duty. Should there be no incidents then this will also be recorded at the end of the shift in the incident book. The incident book will remain on the premises at all times and will be available to police upon request. The book shall detail in brief, incident of injury/ejection/refusals/drug misuse/ i.d seizure/age challenge. Where there is an injury, ejection of physical altercation the entry shall be timed, dated and signed by the author. If the member of staff involved has difficulties reading or writing then the entry may be written by another party. This should however be read back to the person involved and counter signed. Should there be any physical interaction by members of staff and public the entry will include what physical action occurred between each party.

Refusals book

A refusals book to be kept detailing numbers, dates and times of incident and description of people refused service of alcohol with reasons why (Including incidents relating to disorder). The refusals book will remain on the premises at all times and will be available to police upon request.

Challenge 25

There will be a Challenge 25 policy operated at the premises. Challenge 25 meaning that the holder of the premises licence shall ensure that every individual who appears to be under 25 years of age seeking to purchase or be supplied with alcohol at or from the premises shall produce means of identification acceptable to the licensing authority proving that individual to be 18 years of age or older. If the person seeking alcohol is unable to produce acceptable means of identification, no sale or supply of alcohol will be made to or for that person.

Training

Staff are trained regarding appropriate precautions to prevent the sale of alcohol to persons under the age of 18; signs and symptoms of drunk persons, refusal of sale due to intoxication and that records are kept of such training, which are signed and dated by the member of staff who has received the training; that staff receive refresher training every six months as a minimum and that records are signed and dated by the member of staff are kept of this refresher training. Records will be available for inspection by Hampshire Constabulary and the licensing Authority. Records will be kept for a minimum period of two years.

Removal of the premises current designated premises supervisor.

**Application for the review of a
Premises licence or Club Premises certificate
under the Licensing Act 2003**

DPS level 2 training course for new DPS.

In summary, the police evidence to support these points is as follows:

A statement was provided to police by John White, Southampton City Council licensing officer in relation to him visiting the premises on 23rd August 2011. John White explains that the reason for the visit was following a complaint that the premises were trading after permitted hours, serving drunks and selling Special Brew, Super Tennents and Super Skol lager for a £1.00. During the visit the licensing officer noted that no staff training records were held and that the summary of the premises licence was not on display. The Council Licensing Officer recommended to the Designated Premises Supervisor (DPS) that he should have training records to demonstrate due diligence.

Less than two months later, on Saturday 15th October 2011, a test purchase operation was conducted at the premises using an underage female of 16 years of age. She attempted to buy two bottles of alcohol, but was challenged by the male cashier for identification. The test purchaser had no identification in her possession so the cashier refused to serve, but then suggested she could give her money to another customer stood waiting in the queue. The money was passed to this customer and the cashier bagged up the alcohol and passed it to the test purchaser. Other than just selling to underage persons, proxy sales are a problem nationwide. The DPS, despite being told two months earlier that he should produce and maintain training records, had nothing to show following this failing. Further to this test purchase failure, there have been three other failings at the premises going back to 2004. This includes 08/07/04, 28/09/06 and 10/05/07 which were conducted by Trading Standards. Despite these failings, the DPS has failed to produce or retain training records and fails to recognise the importance of doing so. This clearly undermines the licensing objective of protecting children from harm.

Following the test purchase failure, PC 24191 Wood and PC 24288 Harris attended the premises and spoke with the DPS. Police asked to see the DPS's records of due diligence training, but he advised that although he did train staff, he did not have written records to confirm this. The DPS did have one document to show regarding due diligence guidance, which advised of type of offences, but made no mention of how to prevent them, what is accepted, or how to refuse selling to drunks. It also failed to mention anything about proxy sales or dangers of buying alcohol for juveniles. The DPS also produced a hand written test which did cover basic due diligence, however, he had no record of anyone having taken the test and admitted to PC 24191 Wood that he had not been through it with the employee that failed the test purchase operation. The DPS advised that he only had two members of staff so this is clear neglect in his role as DPS. To make matters worse, the DPS having lived and worked in the area for at least 10 years, recognised there were various issues in the area such as drunks. It was at this point he was reminded that this should not be an excuse for the lack of training and if anything, should have made him more diligent.

The DPS was asked to provide CCTV footage of the incident, but advised police that he did not know how to operate it. He explained that he purchased the system under advice from police and clearly had spent money in purchasing a good quality system, but had failed to

**Application for the review of a
Premises licence or Club Premises certificate
under the Licensing Act 2003**

spend the time in learning to operate it. On this occasion, police were able to work the system and the DPS mentioned that another officer had done the same for an unrelated matter. This again shows that the DPS is not taking all of his responsibilities seriously when it comes to promoting the licensing objectives.

The new CCTV system had been installed in the premises for a month and a half and therefore, the DPS had plenty of time to familiarise himself with it. It is the responsibility of the DPS to know how to use the system.

On Saturday 22nd October 2011, a week after the test purchase failure, PCSO 14261 Belcher came across a male who was urinating in the street on St Marys Road. He had his back to the road, but had his jeans down to his ankles, showing his legs and boxer shorts and was urinating on the pavement, causing distress to a passing female. The male was a well known vagrant and street drinker in the area, as per the statement provided by PCSO 14261 Belcher and was reported for a public order offence. The officer describes the male as being in drink with glazed eyes and unsteady on his feet. PCSO Belcher also advised that he has known of the male since he begun his career in 2008 and that the male is an alcoholic and can often be found on St Marys Road drinking a can of Special Brew. The male, having been dealt with for the offence, then walked across the road and went into Clovelly Post Office and Stores. PCSO Belcher waited and then saw the male walk out with a carrier bag full of what he believed was more cans of Special Brew. The statement provided by PCSO Belcher goes into detail, explaining why he believed it was cans of Special Brew and he also advised that he believed the male was drunk and should not have been served alcohol. As previously mentioned, the DPS has recognised there is a problem with drunks in the area, but this would indicate that the premises is only adding to the issue and undermining all of the licensing objectives.

Police did return to the premises on 11th November 2011 to view CCTV of this incident, but discovered the CCTV system was only retaining footage for 19 days and had just recorded over the day earlier. This is not supporting of licensing objectives and in particular, prevention of crime and disorder. Whilst at the premises, the same male who had committed the public order offence by urinating in the street came into the store and was seen to select a can of Carlsberg Special Brew and then try to purchase it. The DPS was asked questions about this male and said that the male was a regular in buying Special Brew in the shop and knew of him as Harry. The DPS then went out to speak with the male and subsequently informed police he had banned him from the shop.

The DPS advised police during the recent visit that he did not receive the service he expected from police when reporting crimes. When asked how often he reports crimes to police, he advised he had not reported any for several months. From looking at crimes reported from the premises, the last theft report to police was dated 17 March 2007, however; the DPS stated that he has shoplifters on a weekly basis. The DPS was advised at the time that in order to support licensing objectives and in particular prevention of crime and disorder, he should be reporting all thefts at the premises. At the time of writing this report, police have still not received any theft reports from the premises.



**Application for the review of a
Premises licence or Club Premises certificate
under the Licensing Act 2003**

The DPS of these premises is also shown on police records as being the DPS for two other off licence stores including, Spar in East Street and St Marys News and Food (currently closed), St Marys Road. He is clearly unable to manage the two that are open as outlined in the failures at this premises, hence why police request for him to be removed. The conditions that have been requested would act as strict guidelines for the new DPS and should assist in resolving the problems that are currently evident. The area as described in the statement of PC BURGESS suffers from underage drinkers and a high number of street drinkers with drink related anti social behavior and crime. The DPS is not supporting licensing objectives and his premises is exasperating the situation by selling alcohol to children and people who are drunk.

Have you made an application for review relating to these premises before: ☐ Yes | ☒ No

If yes please state the date of that
application:

/ /
Day Month Year

If you have made representations before relating to this premises please state what they were

Please tick

☒ I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate

It is an offence, liable on conviction to a fine up to level 5 on the standard scale, under Section 158 of the Licensing Act 2003 to make a false statement in or in connection with this application

Signature of Officer Completing

Name PC HARRIS

Signature: _____

Collar Number: 24288

Date: _____

23/11/2011

Signature of Authorising Officer (Inspector or above)

Name P. BATES

Signature: _____

Collar Number: 5012

Date: _____

23/11/11

WITNESS STATEMENT**Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B**

Statement of: Keith Burgess

URN

Age if under 18: OVER 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation: Police Officer

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:

(witness) Date: 17th November 2011

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded

☐

(supply witness details on rear)

I am Pc 22966 Keith Burgess and I am currently based at Southampton Central Police Station, within the Safer Neighbourhood Team for the Newtown area.

I have been a serving Police Officer in Southampton since 2003 and I have been a SNT officer in Newtown for the past 12 months. I have extensive knowledge of the local area of which incorporates East Park (Andrews Park), Charlotte Place and St. Mary's Road.

Street drinking is a regular cause for concern due to anti social behaviour within the area and daily congregations in East Park and St. Mary's Road and in particular the area immediately around the junction with Graham Road, Pritons Terrace and outside the British Gas building on the corner junction with Charlotte Place round-about.

The number of 'street' drinkers can vary from one person early in the morning and can rise to a number exceeding 30 plus, at the peak time of the day which is around 14.00 hours.

Although street drinkers have normally dispersed after dark, they tend to leave their rubbish in place and so it is evident of how their presence affects the local community. Moreover, they can be aggressive at times and members of the public have often complained that they feel intimidated by this portion of the community.

There are two main premises where the alcohol is being purchased on a regular basis of which they are allowed to buy in various degrees of sobriety. These premises generally issue plain royal blue carrier bags, which can be seen from quite a distance away and the thoroughfare, between Charlotte Place and Above Bar Street has a high number of foot traffic through East Park, throughout the day. Furthermore, they will also tend to position themselves near to the fountain and can often be seen acting anti socially, around families and young children and clearly have a disregard for people trying to enjoy the local attractions that the park provides.

Due to their presence in the area, we are often required to investigate minor incidents of public order and criminal damage notwithstanding constant complaints from local residents and businesses.

Signature:

Signature witnessed by:

2010/11


This has a major impact on the local community and is often high on the agenda for the local residents meeting that the Police attend.

Another cause for concern is that during the winter months we tend to find large numbers of people meeting in the NCP car park which is underneath Charlotte Place roundabout, which serves the Jury's Inn Hotel guests. The car park is usually very warm and different groups take advantage of this to drink or consume alcohol. This can range from underage and predominantly Asian youths to the older English and Polish street drinkers that normally frequent East Park and St.Mary's Road when the weather is more clement. This causes a lot of complaints from the hotel, local residents and the security companies that are tasked to patrol the area. Due to the people frequenting this car park there have been incidents of crime, that have occurred outside or close to both the Edge Nightclub and the Medina Mosque, which are both on Compton Walk which is the road leading into the car park.

Signature:

Signature witnessed by:

2010/11



Witness contact details

Home Address:

Home Telephone No:

Work Telephone No:

Mobile / Pager No:

Email address:

Preferred means of contact *(specify details)*:

Best time of contact *(specify details)*:

Gender:

Date and Place of Birth:

Former name:

Ethnicity
Code:

Religion /
Belief:

DATES OF WITNESS NON-AVAILABILITY:

Witness Care

- a) Is the witness willing and likely to attend court? Yes ☐ No ☐
If 'No', include reason(s) on form MG6.
- b) What can be done to ensure attendance?
- c) Does the witness require a Special Measures Assessment as a vulnerable or intimidated witness? If 'Yes' submit MG2 with file. Yes ☐ No ☐
- d) Does the witness have any particular needs? Yes ☐ No ☐
If 'Yes' what are they? *(Healthcare, childcare, transport, disability, language difficulties, visually impaired, restricted mobility or other concerns?)*

Witness Consent (for witness completion)

- a) The Victim Personal Statement scheme (victims only) has been explained to me: Yes ☐ No ☐
- b) I have been given the Victim Personal Statement leaflet Yes ☐ No ☐
- c) I have been given the leaflet 'Giving a witness statement to the police - what happens next?' Yes ☐ No ☐
- d) I consent to police having access to my medical record(s) in relation to this matter *(obtained in accordance with local practice)*: Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
- e) I consent to my medical record in relation to this matter being disclosed to the defence: Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
- f) I consent to the statement being disclosed for the purposes of civil proceedings if applicable e.g. child care proceedings, CICA: Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐

Signature of witness:

PRINT NAME

KEITH BURGERS

Signature of parent/guardian/
appropriate adult:

PRINT NAME



Address and telephone
number if different from above:

Statement taken by:

SELF

Station:

Time and place statement
taken:





WITNESS STATEMENT

MG11
Page 1 of 4

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of: PCSO Kyle BELCHER

Age if under 18: over 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation: Police Community Support Officer

This statement (consisting of 1 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Kyle BELCHER

Date: 27.10.11

PCSO Kyle BELCHER

☐ Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am Police Community Support Officer Kyle BELCHER 14261 of Hampshire Constabulary. I am currently based at Southampton Central Police Station on Newtown Safer Neighbourhoods Team, a post which I have held for 3 years. This statement refers to a male whom I saw urinating in a public place at 12.05 on Saturday 22nd October 2011.

In my statement I will refer to this male as HINE. I was also with PCSO Emma BRISCOE at the time as I am currently tutoring her. I will refer to her as PCSO BRISCOE in my statement. There was also a passing member of the public who witnessed this incident and I will refer to her as MEMBER OF PUBLIC.

On Saturday 22nd October 2011 I was on duty in full uniform on foot patrol with PCSO Emma BRISCOE 14216. We had just patrolled around the back of the British Gas building on DORSET STREET and were coming down the CHARLOTTE PLACE side of this building and onto ST. MARYS ROAD. St Marys Road is one of the main roads on the Newtown beat connecting ONSLOW ROAD and NORTHAM ROAD. There is a lot of pedestrian traffic visiting the row of shops opposite our location and it is also busy with vehicle traffic for all the residents in the community and others cutting through to travel to and from town centre and towards Bitterne.

As we turned the corner to walk up ST. MARYS ROAD, a female MEMBER OF PUBLIC was in front of us, turned to look to her left and suddenly reacted to something by stepping slightly to her right and then turning to look at us. She turned her nose up at us and had a horrified look on her face. There was no possible way that she could have avoided seeing this. She then looked back to her left as though to indicate something to us and hurriedly walked up ST. MARYS ROAD.

I looked to the left and noticed that there was a male with his back to me. The male had his jeans fully down around his ankles and his bare legs were showing. I could also see that he was wearing some blue underwear. He was up against a knee height brick wall next to a grey electricity box which was about waist height. The male had both his hands round to the front of his body around his crotch area and there was a flow of urine on the floor which was flowing down the path towards the main road.



WITNESS STATEMENT

MG11
Page 2 of 4

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

I already recognised the male as [REDACTED] but when I challenged him, he pulled his jeans up and turned around to face me. I knew at this point that it was definitely [REDACTED] then began to walk away from me and became aggressive. I told him that he had to stay whilst I decided how to deal with the offence and I manoeuvred myself to be in front of him causing an obstruction to his path. I tried to calm him down and asked him to sit on the wall. After several attempts, he became calm and compliant. I asked him how much he had to drink and he stated that he had 2 cans.

PCSO BRISCOE then reported the male for a Section 5 Public Order Offence and read him the now caution. [REDACTED] was asked if he understood the caution and muttered something under his breath which I could not make out. I then explained that he had been reported for summons and would get a letter through the post with a court date, I explained that he had caused alarm and distress to MEMBER OF PUBLIC and that he should go home now and use the toilet there if he needed it. [REDACTED] stated that he hadn't done anything wrong and was not going to court. We then left the area.


I would describe [REDACTED] as a white male, about 50 years old; approximately 5ft 8 tall of quite skinny build. He has short brown hair, a tanned complexion and is unshaven. He was wearing blue jeans, brown shoes and a green/brown jacket. I dealt with [REDACTED] for approximately 25 minutes. He was about 2 metres away from me and visibility was very good. There were no obstructions to my view. I have known [REDACTED] since the beginning of my career in 2008. He is an alcoholic and can often be found sitting on St Marys Road somewhere drinking a can of Special Brew. I have dealt with [REDACTED] in various states of undress when he has been passed out on the floor due to alcohol and when he has had 1 or 2 drinks at the start of the day. When I dealt with him in this incident he had glazed eyes and was slightly unsteady on his feet, but was not too drunk to talk to us or understand what we were saying, but would have been too drunk to be served anymore alcohol. [REDACTED] is often unsteady on his feet as he has some difficulty with his legs and has previously been using crutches to overcome this.

Shortly after this incident I witnessed [REDACTED] walk into PREMIER STORES on ST MARYS ROAD. After 2 to 3 minutes he walked out of the shop with the usual blue plastic carrier bag. Although I couldn't see exactly what was in the carrier bag, I could see on the side of the bag an item which resembled the cylindrical shape of a can of alcohol. I know this as I have seen [REDACTED] on numerous occasions with carrier bags full of Special Brew. Special Brew has a distinct yellow colour around the can. [REDACTED] did not have any Special Brew left whilst we were dealing with him and as he is an alcoholic, I know he would have been going into PREMIER STORES for the purposes of purchasing more alcohol. Again, I would say that based on [REDACTED]'s behaviour throughout this incident and the fact that he was urinating on the street at this time of day, he would not have been suitable to be sold anymore alcohol.

As previously stated, this is an area of high foot traffic and from the position of the female member of public, there is no way that she would have been able to avoid seeing what [REDACTED] was doing. From the look on her face she was clearly alarmed and distressed by what she had seen.

by:

2006/07(1)




WITNESS STATEMENT

MG11
Page 3 of 4

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

PCSO Kyle BELCHER 14261

Signature.



2006/07(1)



WITNESS STATEMENT

MG11
Page 4 of 4

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Witness contact details

URN: | | |

Home Address:

Post Code :

Home Telephone No:

Work Telephone No:

Mobile / Pager No:

Email address:

Preferred means of contact (*specify details*):

Best time of contact (*specify details*):

Gender:

Date and Place of Birth:

Former name:

Ethnicity
Code:

Religion /
Belief:

DATES OF WITNESS NON-AVAILABILITY:

Witness Care

a) Is the witness willing and likely to attend court?

If 'No', include reason(s) on form MG6.

Yes ☐ No ☐

b) What can be done to ensure attendance?

c) Does the witness require a Special Measures Assessment as a vulnerable or intimidated witness? If 'Yes' submit MG2 with file.

Yes ☐ No ☐

d) Does the witness have any particular needs?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If 'Yes' what are they? (*Healthcare, childcare, transport, disability, language difficulties, visually impaired, restricted mobility or other concerns?*)

Witness Consent (for witness completion)

a) The Victim Personal Statement scheme (victims only) has been explained to me:

Yes ☐ No ☐

b) I have been given the Victim Personal Statement leaflet

Yes ☐ No ☐

c) I have been given the leaflet 'Giving a witness statement to the police - what happens next?'

Yes ☐ No ☐

d) I consent to police having access to my medical record(s) in relation to this matter (*obtained in accordance with local practice*):

Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐

e) I consent to my medical record in relation to this matter being disclosed to the defence:

Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐

f) I consent to the statement being disclosed for the purposes of civil proceedings if applicable e.g. child care proceedings, CICA:

Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐

g) The information recorded above will be disclosed to the Witness Service so that they can offer help and support, unless you ask them not to. Tick this box to decline their services:

☐

Signature of witness:

VT NAME

Signature of parent / guardian / appropriate adult:

VT NAME

Address and telephone number if different from above:

Statement taken by:

self

Station:


Southampton Central Police
Station

Time and place statement taken:

16.30 hours at Southampton Central Police Station

Signature

Signature witnessed by:



2006/07(1)





WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

URN:

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

Statement of: [REDACTED]

Age if under 18: 16 (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: School

This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I n
stated in it, a
vidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully
ot believe to be true.

Signature: Date: 2/11/11

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am the above named person and I reside at the address
provided. I am 16 years old and I volunteered to assist police in
test purchase operations which involves going into shops and
trying to buy alcohol.

On the 15th October 2011 I was assisting the police with one of
their operations. I was the only test purchaser for the operation
and there were two male police officers who were in plain clothes
and a uniformed officer. We had been to a number of premises
throughout the evening and so it was quite late on that we
went to the premier on St Marys Road.

I went into the shop and one of the plain clothes officers was in
here already. I went to the fridge and selected a couple of bottles of
cider and took them to the counter. The man behind the counter asked
me if I had ID which I said I didn't so he then asked me
my date of birth. I was told on the briefing by the uniformed officer
that y. asked my age I could lie so I told the man my date of
birth was 9/9/92. At this point the man behind the counter said
he couldn't serve me without ID but that I could give my money.

Signature: Signature witnessed by:

PTO



WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

URN:

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|

Continuation of Statement

to a man stood next to me. I do not know who the male stood next to me was but I think he was just a customer at the shop. I gave the money to the man stood next to me and then picked up my bottles and left. The man behind the counter had put the bottles in a bag for me but I can't remember when he did that. I left the shop and handed the bottles over to another plain clothes officer I was in the shop for about 10-15 minutes. I cannot really describe ^{JLP} ~~but note~~ the male behind the counter, I think he was around 20-30 years old and he was dark skinned. The male stood next to me. I did not pay any attention to so cannot describe.

Signature

Signature witnessed by:



URN: | | |

Statement of: PC 20695 GILES

Age if under 18: Over 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation: Police Officer

This statement (consisting of page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature

Date:

PC 20695 GILES

15/10/11

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded

☐

(supply witness details on rear)

My name is PC20695 GILES of Hampshire Constabulary, currently assigned to the Safer Neighbourhood Team. This statement is to explain what I witnessed when I attended the Premier Off-licence on St Marys Road, Southampton on Saturday 15th of October 2011. During the statement I will refer to three people. The first person will be the under age test purchaser I will refer to her as "Test Purchaser". The second person will be the person behind the counter, I will refer to him as "cashier". The final person will be an unknown person who was in the shop I will refer to him as "unknown", any other people in this statement will be police officers and I will refer to them by name.

On Saturday 15th of October I was on duty in plain clothes. I was in company with Special Constable 99224 LEGG, PS 3555 MARSHMAN, and the female under age test purchaser.

At approximately 22:15hrs I attended the Premier Off-licence on St Mary's Road. When I entered the shop "cashier" was stood behind the counter serving a customer. The counter was on the right hand side of the shop as you entered. I would describe him as of Asian origin, with dark hair. I would estimate him to be approximately 40 years old, proportionately built, with short dark hair. I could only see his top half, but was able to see his bright purple polo-shirt which has a "Premier" logo on the left breast.

I stood approximately 2/3rds of the way down the shop, when "Test Purchaser" walked into the shop. She picked up two bottles of Bulmers Cider and approached the counter. As she did so, I was aware of somebody else the same side of the counter as "Test Purchaser". This person was "unknown" to me I could not describe this person as the view was obstructed by the stock of the shop.

Test Purchaser put the bottles on the counter and was spoken to by "cashier". I heard him say to her "HAVE YOU GOT ANY ID?" I did not hear her reply. I then heard him ask "WHAT'S YOUR DATE OF BIRTH?" Again I did not hear her reply.

At this point I remained 2/3rds of the way down the shop, but I was preparing to leave as I thought that he was going to decline serving her as I knew she did not have any ID and thought that if he was questioning her that much he was doubtful about her age. I then heard him say "GIVE YOUR MONEY TO HIM". I had no idea what that meant as I could not see who he was referring to and didn't even think he was talking to "Test Purchaser". I thought perhaps that he was now serving "unknown" or talking to a different customer having declined serving the "Test Purchaser".


When the talking stopped I started to walk towards the front of the shop to leave as I could not see the "Test Purchaser" in the shop anymore. As I left the shop "cashier" was still behind the counter. I left and

Signature

Signature witnessed by:



2006/07(1)




turned right and walked up St Marys Road. As I did so I saw that "Test Purchaser" had a carrier bag in her hand. She then confirmed that she had been served after all, and that she had been asked by "cashier" to hand her money to the person who was stood next to her in the queue ("unknown"), furthermore despite all the question from "cashier", he had pushed the alcohol across the counter towards her and gestured for her to take it. He had even put the bottles in a bag for her.

Once we had met up on St Marys Road, we returned to our vehicle and left the scene. The alcohol was handed to Special Constable LEGG. I had no further dealings with this incident.

Signature

Signature witnessed by:



2006/07(1)



Witness contact details

URN: | | |

Home Address:

Post Code :

Home Telephone No:

Work Telephone No:

Mobile / Pager No:

Email address:

Preferred means of contact (specify details):

Best time of contact (specify details):

Gender:

Date and Place of Birth:

Former name:

Ethnicity
Code:

Religion /
Belief:

DATES OF WITNESS NON-AVAILABILITY:

Witness Care

- a) Is the witness willing and likely to attend court? Yes ☐ No ☐
If 'No', include reason(s) on form MG6.
- b) What can be done to ensure attendance?
- c) Does the witness require a Special Measures Assessment as a vulnerable or intimidated witness? If 'Yes' submit MG2 with file. Yes ☐ No ☐
- d) Does the witness have any particular needs? Yes ☐ No ☐
If 'Yes' what are they? (Healthcare, childcare, transport, disability, language difficulties, visually impaired, restricted mobility or other concerns?)

Witness Consent (for witness completion)

- a) The Victim Personal Statement scheme (victims only) has been explained to me: Yes ☐ No ☐
- b) I have been given the Victim Personal Statement leaflet Yes ☐ No ☐
- c) I have been given the leaflet 'Giving a witness statement to the police - what happens next?' Yes ☐ No ☐
- d) I consent to police having access to my medical record(s) in relation to this matter (obtained in accordance with local practice): Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
- e) I consent to my medical record in relation to this matter being disclosed to the defence: Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
- f) I consent to the statement being disclosed for the purposes of civil proceedings if applicable e.g. child care proceedings, CICA: Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐
- g) The information recorded above will be disclosed to the Witness Service so that they can offer help and support, unless you ask them not to. Tick this box to decline their services: ☐

Signature of witness:

PRINT NAME

Signature of parent / guardian / appropriate adult:

PRINT NAME

Address and telephone number if different from above:

Statement taken by:

Station:

Time and taken:

Signature

Signature witnessed by:



2006/07(1)

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

URN: | | |

Statement of: SC 99224 LEGG

Age if under 18: Over 18 (if over 18 insert
'over 18')

Occupation: Special Constable

This statement (consisting of page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:

Date: 15/10/2011

SC 99224 LEGG

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded

☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am special constable 99224 LEGG currently based at Southampton Central Police station. This statement details an incident when I seized alcohol from a female under the age of 18 during a test purchase operation. At the time of the incident I was in plain clothes.

On Saturday 15th October at 22.00 hours I was on a licensing operation with PS 3555 MARSHMAN and PC 20695 GILES who was also in plain clothes. I was stood on ST MARYS ROAD, Southampton. A female test purchaser entered the premise at 110 ST MARYS ROAD empty handed. On leaving the premise the female test purchaser handed me a blue bag which contained two bottles of alcoholic drink. The test purchaser has told me that she has purchased these alcoholic drinks from the premise. I have seized these two bottles from the test purchaser as evidence which I exhibit as, I have them placed them in evidence bag D02332524.

KL/JP/02 – 2 BOTTLES OF BULMERS CIDER

I had no further dealing with this incident.

Signed :

Signature witnessed by :

SC 99224 LEGG

2006/07(1)

reference



(CJ Act 1967 S.9; MCA1980 SS5A(3)(A) and 5B; MC Rules 1981, r.70)

RESTRICTED- For Council and prosecution only
Witness Statement

Statement of: John White

Age if under 18 **Over 18**
(if over 18, insert "over 18")

Occupation of witness **Licensing Enforcement Officer**

This statement (consisting of 3 pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything, which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.

Sign: Date: 04/11/11

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|----|------|-----|------------|
| | being | unable | to | read | the | statement, |
| I..... | | | | | | |
| read it to him/her before he/she signed it. | | | | | | |
| Dated thisday of.....Signed..... | | | | | | |

I am the above named Licensing Enforcement Officer employed by Southampton City Council. One of my duties is the licensing and enforcement of regulations in relation to the Licensing Act 2003.

At approximately 1220 hours on Tuesday 23rd August 2011, I attended The Premier Store (also know as Clovelly Post Office And Stores) with Ian McGuinness, a fellow Licensing Enforcement Officer, and PC Jamie Lindley from the Police Licensing Unit.

Signature.. v Date... 04/11/11



(CJ Act 1967 S.9; MCA1980 SS5A(3)(A) and 5B; MC Rules 1981, r.70)

RESTRICTED- For Council and prosecution only

CONTINUATION STATEMENT OF

John White

DATED: 04/11/11

This visit was as a result of a complaint received by the Licensing Team, alleging that the premises were trading after permitted hours, serving drunks and selling 'Special Brew', 'Tennants' and 'Skull' for £1.

The premises licence holder and designated premises supervisor, Mr. Meten LAKHANI, was not at the premises at the time of our visit, so whilst at the premises we liaised with a female who I understood to be his mother and who was in charge at the premises in his absence. She telephoned Mr. LAKHANI and passed the telephone to me so I could have a brief chat with him at the time of our visit. I advised him that I would call him later to fully discuss our visit.

Whilst at the premises I had noted that no staff training records were held and that the summary of the premises licence was not on display. I also noted that 'Special Brew' and 'Tennants' were on display in the premises for £1.

At approximately 1410 hours on Tuesday 23rd August 2011, I telephoned Mr. LAKHANI from the Licensing Office at Southbrook Rise and advised him fully of my findings from our visit; namely, that the summary of the premises licence did not appear to be on display as required by the Licensing Act 2003, and that the premises

Signature.....

Date..... 04/11/11

Page 2 of 3



(CJ Act 1967 S.9; MCA1980 SS5A(3)(A) and 5B; MC Rules 1981, r.70)

RESTRICTED- For Council and prosecution only

CONTINUATION STATEMENT OF

John White

DATED: 04/11/11

appeared to have no staff training records (not a requirement of the Licensing Act 2003, but a recommendation to show due diligence). In addition, I advised him of the fact that a mandatory condition introduced in October 2010 now meant that the premises must have an age verification policy in relation to the sale of alcohol.

I also enquired about the 'Special Brew' and 'Tennants' being sold for £1, and was informed that the premises had been forced into lowering their prices in order to compete with other shops in the locality which had lowered their prices. *AW*

Signature...

..... Date *04/11/11*

Page 3 of 3

Working Sheet

Hampshire Constabulary

Printed: 17/11/2011 16:37 by 24288

Occurrence: **44110240964 Z Prem Licence (Management Occurrence)**

Author: #24191 WOOD, B.

Report time: 18/10/2011 15:46

Entered by: #24191 WOOD, B.

Entered time: 18/10/2011 15:46

Remarks: Visit following TP Failure 15/10/11

Premises visited by PC HARRIS and PC WOOD on 18/10/11.

CCTV

System in working order. The DPS was unable to produce a recording himself, nor did he have discs. However, we have provided a disc and been able to record the footage of the TP failure. Footage is currently retained for just under 4 weeks. The DPS has operating manuals as the system is new, and should be able to produce copies himself. He has been advised to get discs and familiarise himself with the process.

TRAINING

The DPS has no real training documents. He had one A4 sheet which appears to have once been attached to a premises licence, regarding due diligence guidance. The sheet advises of what offences can be committed but doesn't go into how to prevent them, what ID is accepted, or how to refuse sale to drunks. It also fails to mention anywhere the dangers of others buying alcohol for juveniles - the TP failure is example of this. He had a hand written 'test' which covered basic due diligence, however he had no records of anyone having taken this test, (or any training), and admitted that he hadn't been through it with the member of staff who failed the TP. He only employs 2 members of staff.

REFUSALS BOOK

There is a good refusals book in use which has been kept up to date and appears to be used by all staff.

SHOPLIFTING INCIDENTS

The DPS was keen to say that he had not had the service from police that he expected when reporting incidents such as shoplifting. However, he went on to say that he hasn't reported any for several months. I have advised that in support of the Licensing Objective 'prevention of crime and disorder', he should be reporting these incidents, and should advise call taker of the existence of CCTV footage at the time.

24191

Working Sheet

Hampshire Constabulary

Printed: 11/11/2011 14:28 by 24288

Occurrence: **44110240964 Z Prem Licence (Management Occurrence)**

Author: #24288 HARRIS, J.

Report time: 11/11/2011 13:57

Entered by: #24288 HARRIS, J.

Entered time: 11/11/2011 13:57

Remarks: Visit to obtain CCTV

I attended the premises today in order to get a copy of the cctv footage from 22/10/11 incident. Regrettably, the footage was recorded over just yesterday as the system is only recording for 19 days. [REDACTED] did appear to be better in its usage and actually used the system to check if a customer received the correct change (customer claimed he had not).

[REDACTED] advised that he now has some written training records/pack but did not have it with him, they were at home. He said he could bring this in to the police station this afternoon.

He told me that he did have a theft in the shop recently, but the male was caught and has since paid so was not reported to police.

I discussed the low price of the super strong alcohol with [REDACTED] (dps) and he showed me receipts and said he actually makes a loss on this alcohol. The paperwork showed the following:

Carlsberg special brew - £30.99 for 24 cans

Tennents super - £29.99 for 24 cans

Skol super - £29.99 for 24 cans and yet all individual cans are sold for £1.00

The DPS stated that the other 2 shops in the road sell this alcohol for the same price and claimed he had a meeting with them but they would not reduce their price. In order to keep the customers who purchase this alcohol coming to his premises, he also sells the strong lager for £1.00 can.

Although in the incident with [REDACTED] on 22/10/11 i could not check cctv to see if it was carlsberg special brew that he purchased, he walked in the store whilst i was there. He went straight to the alcohol and picked up a single can of special brew and went to pay for it. I asked the DPS if he knew the male and he said he knows him as HARRY and that he was a regular customer for special brew. I had explained the incident [REDACTED] when i came in as the DPS wanted to know why i wished to view CCTV. I did not give the name of the offender, but it would appear the DPS assumed it was him and then refused him service and barred him in the process.

8

| Occ # | Type | Time | Notes |
|-------------|---|------------------|---|
| 44110344060 | Suspicious - Other | 13/08/2011 14:05 | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) INFT HAS BEEN GOING TO PREMIER NEWSAGENTS FOR THE PAST 10 YEARS, THIS IS A FAMILY RUN BUSINESS. // THROUGHOUT THAT TIME INFT BELIEVES HE IS BEING SHORT CHANGED BY SMALL AMOUNTS, WHICH WOULDNT BE MISSED AT THE TIME. // ALSO THERE TILL IS OBSCURED SO THAT THE CUSTOMER CANNOT SEE WHAT PRICES ARE BEING RUNG UP. // INFT BELIEVES THIS TO BE ILLEGAL AND WOULD LIKE A POLICE OFFICER TO LOOK INTO IT. AS THE OWNERS ARE DOING THIS TO ALL OF THEIR CUSTOMERS. // ALSO MAKING REFERENCE TO PRODUCTION OF FOOD ON COMERCIAL BASIS BY PEOPLE IN THEIR HOMES. FEELS THIS IS UNACCEPTABLE SHOULD BE PAYING TAX ETC. INFORMED THAT OFFICER CONTACTING HIM WILL PROVIDE HIM |
| | | | WITH DETAILS OF TRADING STAND |
| 44110297217 | ASB Per - Rowdy and Inconsiderate Behaviour | 15/07/2011 21:29 | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) FROM PC HARRIS LICENSING TEAM AT SOUTHAMPTON / HE HAS JSUT RECEIVED A CALL MFROM THE INFT SAYING HE HAD 2 CALLERS PURPORTING TO BE POLICE OFFICERS / ONE SHOWED AN APPARENT WARRANT CARD FOR AN UNKNOWN OFFICER FROM GREATER JOHN MCCARTHY ON IT AND THE OTHER AN APPARENT WARRANT CARD FOR AN UNKNOWN OFFICER FROM GREATER MANCHESTER POLICE / THEY STATED THEY WERE CHECKING ON SECURITY AND ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT ALARM SYSTEMS / THEY LEFT ABOUT 10 - 15 MINUTES AGO - NO DOT / VEH BEING USED WAS [REDACTED] // |
| 44110258431 | Suspicious - Other | 21/06/2011 16:04 | VAUXHALL CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) E21 (5) 3 young females, no older than 15 were seen on St Marys Road at 20:00 hours on 19th May, outside of Premier Stores. They were all acting very drunk, staggering around and being loud, one of the females then went into Premier Stores and was sold a can of cider. she was definitely already under the influence and underage when this can was sold to her. |
| 44110209811 | Intelligence Other | 20/05/2011 21:49 | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) MR ANDERSON IS O/S OFF-LICENCE / STATES THE STAFF ACCUSED HIM FALSELY OF STEALING A CAN OF BEER WHICH HE DENIED AND WHICH LED TO ALTERCATION / INFT WOULD LIKE AN OFFICER TO ATTEND TO SPEAK TO THE SHOPKEEPER // SC53 - UNABLE TO LOCATE PREMISES, NO PERSONS MAKING THEMSELVES KNOWN. // CWJUN - TRIED CALLING THE INFT BACK, NO ANSWER. // SC53 - I HAVE SEARCHED ALL OF THE SURROUNDING ROADS, ASNT. RESUMMING. // CWJUN - CALL BACK TO THE INFT ... HE HAS MOVED ON. BUT STILL WANTS TO BE SEEN. HE STATES THE SHOP KEEPER HAS ACCUSED HIM OF STEALING A CAN OF BEER WHEN HE HAS NOT. HE WANTS THE SHOP KEEPER SPOKEN TO AND TO CHECK HIS CCTV. I HAVE ADVISED INFT WE HAVE NO FREE UNITS NOW AND |
| 44100418912 | Rowdy and inconsiderate | 02/10/2010 16:43 | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) POLICE WERE CALLED TO NEWSAGENTS TO DEAL WITH A DRUNK MALE. MALE WAS ANNOYED AS HE HAD BEEN REFUSED ALCOHOL. REASON REFUSED WAS BECAUSE HE WAS DRUNK |
| 44090330632 | S27/30 Dispersal Order (Police Initiated) | 30/07/2009 10:57 | 0 ST. MARY STREET SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE01 ST MARYS) WE KEEP HAVING PROBLEMS WITH SQUATTERS TO THE BACK OF OUR SHOP IN THE LOADING BAY. HAVE BEEN TOLD TO RING POLICE EVERY TIME THEY ARE OUT THERE. SIO THEY CAN BE MOVED ON. THERE IS ONE NOW ASLEEP UNDER A BLANKET LOOKES LIKE HE IS TUCKED AWAY FOR THE NIGHT. // HE IS TO THE RIGHTS OF THE STEPS OUT THE BACK. // WE ARE JUST CLOSING THE SHOP NOW. // SE3AP - THIS IS IN ST MARYS ST. NOT ST MARYS ROAD / SE1CP - ONE VAGRANT MOVED ON / *** RESULT *** / VAGRANT MOVED ON |
| 44090242967 | Begging | 05/06/2009 21:19 | |

| | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 44090235645 | Suspicious - Person | 01/06/2009 16:59 | STREET NOT ST MARYS ROAD A | 110 ST. MARYS STREET SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Beat: 5SE01 ST MARYS) CALLER REPORTING THAT THEY WERE VICTIMS OF A ROBBERY IN FEBRUARY. / THE MEMBER OF STAFF WHO WAS WORKING AT THE TIME BELIEVES SHE HAS JUST SEEN THE SUSPECT WALK INTO THE SHOP. HE HAS WALKED OUT AGAIN BUT STAFF ARE VERY NERVOUSE. / DESCRIPTION OF MALE IS AFRO CARRIBEAN, ABOUT 5FT 7" TALL, TIDY DREADLOCKS, HAS A "LAZY EYE", WEARIG LIGHT COLOURED T SHIRT AND GREY HODY. / / CWUN - RMS SHOWS NOTHING REGARDING A ROBBERY REPORT FOR THE INFT DETAILS OR ADDRESS. TEL NUMBER RECOGNISED BUT NOT FOR ROBBERY/ANYTHING SIMILAR / / CWUN - HAVE CALLED THE INFT. THEY DID REPORT THIS ROBBERY AND STATES THERE ARE ALWAYS WITH THE ADDRESS GOING INTO OUR SYSTEM. THE ADDRESS IS 110 ST MARYS STREET NOT ST MARYS ROAD A |
| 44090222923 | Begging | 24/05/2009 09:42 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE, 110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM MOP THAT MALE PERSON WAS AGGRESSIVELY BEGGING AND BUYING ALCOHOL FROM LOCAL SHOP. FOUND CLOSE TO LOCATION DRINKING ALCOHOL AND CAUSING ASB. DISPERSED S.27 |
| 44090204377 | Mental health | 12/05/2009 18:09 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE, 110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) INFT REPORTING THAT SHE HAS FOUND LOTS OF ITEMS REFERRING TO DRUGS ROUND THE BACK OF THE SHOP. FOIL - NEEDLE CAPS. / / INFT WILL DISPOSE OF THE RUBBISH BUT WANTS TO LET LOCAL OFFICERS KNOW THAT THIS HAPPENS OUT THE BACK OF THE SHOP. / *** RESULT *** / PASSED FOR THE ATTENTION OF LOCAL OFFICERS. / ***** |
| 44080407224 | Drug offences | 12/09/2008 14:41 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE, 110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) INFT ADVISING THERE IS A DRUNKEN MALE IN THE SHOP REFUSING TO LEAVE / HIS BANK CARD HAS BEEN DECLINED / AND TEH MALE IS NOW SITTING ON THE FLOOR IN THE SHOP REFUSING TO LEAVE / / FURTHER CALLS - FROM [REDACTED] / REPORTING THE SAME / MALE STILL IN PREMISES REFUSING LEAVE . HAS ALSO STARTED TO PUSH PEOPLE AROUND / / NO OTHER DETAILS PASSED / / C1 - CALLED INFMNT BACK, MALE HAS GONE - WILL CALL BACK IF HE RETURNS - AROUND / / NO OTHER DETAILS PASSED / / *** RESULT *** / MALE LEFT PRIOR TO ARRIVAL |
| 44080286422 | Street drinking | 29/06/2008 21:25 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE, 110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) INFT REPORTS THAT THERE IS A MALE IN THE SHOP / HE IS BEING AGGRESSIVE AND REFUSING TO LEAVE / CAN POLICE ASSIST / / DESC: 57, POSS POLISH MALE, BLACK JACKET, SHORT HAIR, SLIM BUILD, BLACK TROUSERS / / MALE IS CARRYING A CARRIER BAG WITH HIM SO THEY ASSUME HE IS INTENDING TO SHOP LIFT? / / C1 - MALE NOW LEFT AND HEADED OFF TWDS THE LEISURE CEN. NO OFFENCES / *** RESULT *** / MALE LEFT SHOP WITH NO OFFENCES COMMITED. OFFICERS AREA SEARCHED TO GIVE ADVICE BUT COULD NOT LOCATE HIM. / / NFA POSSIBLE - TO IMU FOR INFO ONLY |
| 44080288401 | Rowdy and inconsiderate | 18/06/2008 20:06 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE, 110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) HOMELESS MALE SLEEPING OUTSIDE REAR OF SHOP ASKED TO MOVE ON AND HE DID. |
| 44080257575 | Rowdy and inconsiderate | 12/06/2008 15:10 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE, 110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) INFT REPORTING 6 MALE OF EASTERN EUROPEAN BACKGROUND, VERY DRUNK SITTING BY THE ROAD AT THE ABOVE LOCATION, THEY AGED MID 30'S. THEY ARE APPARENTLY THERE VERY OFTEN AND ARE ALWAYS ABUSIVE TO ANYONE WALKING PAST. / / DURING THE CALL, THE YOUTHS ARE MOVING UP TO TOWN VIA CHARLOTTE PLACE VIA / / FOR SOUTHAMPTON DESK FOR INFORMATION. / *** RESULT *** / / VS MADE AWARE PENDING FURTHER PROBLEMS |

CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) INFT HAS JUST WALKED DOWN ST MARYS ROAD AND STATES THAT EVERY NIGHT THERE ARE A GROUP OF AT LEAST 5 RUSSIAN OR EAST EUROPEAN MALE THAT HANG OUT O/S THE ABOVE. THEY ASKED INFT FOR MONEY AND WHEN HE SAID HE DIDNT HAVE ANY THEY HAVE BECOME VERBALLY ABUSIVE. // INFT NEEDS TO GO BACK PASSED TO GET HOME AND REQUETS UNIT MOVES THEM ON. // ADVISED MAY NOT BE IMMEDIATE AND GAVE DIRECTIONS FOR SAFER ROUTE HOME. // INFT WANTS IT NOTED THAT THESE MALES ARE THERE DAILY AND ARE VERY THREATENING TOWARDS OTHER MOP'S. // FURTHER CALL FROM THE INF ASKING IF POLICE HAD BEEN OUT HE HAS BEEN ADVISED POLICE ON ROUTE // SC51 - SPEAKING WITH MALES NOW. // SC51 - MALES HAVE BEEN MOVED ON... NO O

19/05/2008 21:06 CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) ENTRY / RMRKS / TWO MALE SLEEPING UNDER AIR CONDITIONING UNITS // ASKING FOR THEM TO BE MOVED // ***** // TO SOUTHAMPTON CITY PATROL PLEASE ATTEND AND DEAL WITH -THANKS // ***** / CHNG Contact Type:POLDEP->REFER_TO_LA; / SNEN Incident created from SNEN CallURN: 08051600105; / Call Status: OPEN / Call Type: ROWINT101 / Contact Type: REFER_TO_LA / Caller Phone Day: ***** / Caller Preferred Contact: DAY // *** RESULT *** // CLOSED TO IMU FOR INFO ONLY - SCP ATTENDING AND DEALING WITH

16/05/2008 21:50 CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) during times stated someone has put their hand through infmrts cab window and taken his sat nav and mobile phone whilst he was on his deliveries

25/04/2007 11:50 CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) YOUTHS HAVE COME IN AND HAVE STOLEN A CASE OF BEER IT IS CARLING - INFT STATES SHE IS STAFF AT THE SHOP WHICH IS PART OF THE POST OFFICE // WENT TOWARDS PORTWOOD - THEY HAVE RAN OFF HAPPENED TEN MINS AGO // DESC THREE MALES AGED ABOUT 19 YEARS WEARING A TRACKSUIT ONE IN WHITE ONE IN GREY TRACKSUIT AND ONE IN A BLUE TRACKSUITE AND A HAT // INFT DIFFICULT TO UNDER STAND CANNOT GET ANYTHING FURTHER // *** RESULT *** / DETAILS TAKEN. 17/03/2007 15:49 0 ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) Aggrieved was near her HA, when she saw a group of teenage girls. She turned to walk away from them, they caught her up and pushed her to the floor. They then proceeded to hit her and take her mobile phone. The mobile phone has since been returned. 10/01/2007 19:40 CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) ***SELLING ALCOHOL TO A PERSON UNDERAGE*** FEMALE ASSISTANT AT OFF LICENCE SOLD ALCOHOL (5 X BACARDI BREEZERS) TO A MALE PERSON UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE. FPN NO. A40042413 01/12/2006 23:53 CLOVELLY KUAU PUSI OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS KUAU SOU HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (PUSI OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) CUSTOMER WHICH HAS BEEN BARRED IS NOW IN THE SHOP AND REFUSING TO LEAVE. // MALE GETS AGGRESSIVE WHEN ASKED TO LEAVE. HE IS CURRENTLY AT THE ROULETTE MACHINE. // DES. GREY AND BLACK JACKET FLEECE. ASIAN LOOKING. BLACK TROUSERS. // FURTHER CALL - MALE HAS LEFT, POLICE NO LONGER REQUIRED. // *** RESULT *** // POLICE CXD PRIOR TO POOLICE ARRIVA 17/11/2006 17:11

CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) INFT HAS A CUSTOMER THAT SHE CANT GET RID OF. HE IS PLAYING THE FRUIT MACHINES AND WONT LEAVE // HE IS BEING ABUSIVE AND WONT GO HOME // INFT SOUNDS ANGRY AND UPSET // THE MALE IS A REGULAR. // HE MAY BECOME VIOLENT TO STAFF IF POLICE DONT MOVE HIM ON // CWUN - NOTED. NO FREE UNITS AT PRESENT. // FURTHER CALL FROM HELEN, STATES MALE HAS RUN OUT OF MONEY ON THE FRUIT MACHINE AND HAS LEFT ON HIS OWN ACCORD. DOES NOT SEE REASON FOR POLICE TO ATTEND. // *** RESULT *** // MALE LEFT PRIOR TO POLICE ARRIVAL // A/O

12/10/2006 18:37 CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) Aggrieved's bank card used without her knowledge to make several withdrawals of small sums from ATM outside

11/07/2006 15:24

44080218736 Rowdy and inconsiderate

44080213953 Rowdy and inconsiderate

44070190544 Theft from vehicle

44070124249 Theft

44070014805 Robbery - Personal

44060493636 Other crime

44060470842 Rowdy and inconsiderate

44060410027 Rowdy and inconsiderate

44060263699 Theft


| | | | | |
|--------------|--|------------------|--------------------|--|
| 44060262379 | Theft | 10/07/2006 15:32 | BUSINESS SENSITIVE | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) LARGE QUANTITIES OF CASH IN THE HUNDREDS OF POUNDS APPEARED TO BE MISSING FROM THE STORE TILL ROLL AND ACCOUNTS. |
| 44060223534 | Suspicious - Person | 14/06/2006 10:41 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) CALL FROM FIB - 012300 - VIOLENT CRIME DESK // [REDACTED] MANDY AT CASH IN TRANSIT OFFICE HAS CALLED HIM WITH CONCERNS FOR SAFETY OF HER DELIVERY DRIVER // AT THE MOMENT THERE IS A BRINKS SECURITY VEH MAKING A CASH DELIVERY - THEY HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT 3 MALES ACTING SUS IN THE AREA // ALL IC1 / 2X EARLY 20S - 1 IS 5'10 BLUE BBC DARK TROUSER DARK JACKET , 2 IS ALSO 5'10 WHITE BBC , BLUE HOODIE // 3 IS EARLY 40'S BLUE/RED CHECK SHIRT // MALES ARE NEARBY THE POST OFFICE PAYING ATTENTION TO VEH // DRIVER WOULD LIKE POLICE TO BE IN THE AREA BEFORE THEY GO AHEAD WITH THE DELIVERY // IF THE BRINKS VAN IS GONE POLICE CAN DISREGARD - JUST INCASE ANY UNITS AVAILABLE // FOR CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) Infr reporting Post Office driver left veh unlocked & 2 bunches of keys to postboxes on the front seat. Total 19 keys stolen. There are numbers on the keys which correspond to the numbered postboxes. / Infr has reported it to Post Office repairs in order to get keys & locks changed ASAP. It is unknown how long this will take. Keys follow a route so it's not impossible to locate the postboxes & the route. / A member of staff is now out collecting all mail from affected boxes but if mop's post items between now & first post tomorrow morning (1000hrs) it is possible that these items could be stolen. / No CCTV. No Witnesses. No Suspects. |
| 44060115327 | Theft from vehicle | 27/03/2006 18:32 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) DWP has confirmed that a person unknown has cashed a girocheque at Clovelly post office. |
| 44050774241 | Obtaining by deception | 17/11/2005 14:16 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) The aggrieved had his Giro sent to his address, he did not receive it, he has spoken with the DSS today who have advised him that the Giro had been cashed at the Clovelly Road Post Office on 01 November 2005 by person unknown. |
| 44050750410 | Theft | 02/11/2005 13:27 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) unknown person has entered the post office, answered security questions for someone elses account, emptied it and changed the address details. |
| 44050610379 | Obtaining by deception | 03/08/2005 13:48 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) BANK CARD WAS STOKEN FROM AGGRIEVED'S ADDRESS IN WINCHESTER AND SUBSEQUENTLY USED AT KINGSLAND NEW IN SOUTHAMPTON TO BUY GOODS. |
| 44050622743 | Obtaining by deception | 22/06/2005 18:30 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom SO14 0AN (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) agg'd placed mobile phone on counter at post office to complete transaction, w/k person removed phone |
| 440506550701 | Theft | 20/06/2005 11:48 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) Group of males enter betting shop and surround a gaming machine, they break back off machine and keep putting same coins inside machine which adds up total credit, they then print off receipt and take it to cash desk for payment. they did this several times until manager became suspicious and ordered males out. |
| 44050031491 | Theft | 24/02/2005 14:03 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) Using stolen bank card obtained goods from convenience store |
| 44040043021 | Obtaining by deception | 25/12/2004 15:50 | | CLOVELLY ROAD POST OFFICE,110, ST. MARYS ROAD SOUTHAMPTON, HAMPSHIRE United Kingdom (POST OFFICE) (OCU: 5 SOUTHAMPTON, District: S CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON, Sector: SE CENTRAL SOUTHAMPTON EAST, Beat: 5SE03 NEWTOWN) Suspect enters shop to withdraw benefits he then remains in shop annoying other customers and harassing the shop manageress when he refuses to leave |
| 44040009312 | | 29/09/2004 14:25 | | (s) community intel |
| 44030259207 | Intelligence/stop check | 10/12/2003 11:45 | | ***MASTER LICENSING RECORD Clovelly Post Office*** / See reports tab page for copy of Premises Licence. / Visits should be recorded on a working sheet the reports tab. / All other incidents should be raised on a new occurrence and linked to master record / |
| 44110240964 | Z Prem Licence (Management Occurrence) | | | |

copied

You are in: Inside Out > London > Super strength alcoholic drinks

Super strength alcoholic drinks

Inside Out takes a look at the effects of super strength lager and cider on long term drinkers. We meet of the users of high strength low cost alcohol who are speaking out against the liquid they say is killing them.



Superstrength - fears for heavy drinkers

Super strength drinks are commonly known as "tramp juice" and they're normally associated with "drinking schools" or with severe alcoholics.

In one London hostel, management say that 24 out of 30 deaths in two years were directly caused by super strength.

They say the reason people are dying is that alcoholics are buying 9% proof lager and 7.5% proof cider at rock-bottom prices and then spending all day binge drinking it in large volumes.

Inside Out met some of the users of high strength low cost alcohol. They say they hate it but can't do without it.

Some want it to be removed from sale altogether so that it is kept out of their reach.

Super strength - a growing industry

Inside Out, Broadcasting Centre, Barrack Road, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE99 1RN

SEE ALSO

London
Inside Out

On the rest of the web
Thames Reach
Alcohol Concern
Portman Group

The BBC is not responsible for the content of external websites

Inside Out

Inside Out Returns

Oct 2009



Before moving to a hostel, Kevin lived for six years in a churchyard in South London.

Even though he was destitute, he could still afford to drink between eight and 10 cans of super strength lager every day.

Kevin says he drinks it not because of the taste of it, "You drink it because of the volume".

The reason alcoholics choose these brands of super strength is that they are easily available all day at just over £1 a can.

It's hard to resist the temptation when they are very cleverly marketed through what's known as "Point of Sale" promotion.

It's a multi-million-pound industry - the drinks are sold in large amounts, mostly in local shops.


Audrey Mitchell from the homelessness charity Thames Reach alerted Inside Out to an alarming trend.

A number of small off-licenses across London are allowing severely ill alcoholics who are on benefits credit - and then charging interest when the money for their incapacity or unemployment arrives in their accounts.

Moderation or excess?

Dave, who lives in a hostel in Vauxhall, told us that he regularly gets beer "on tic".

We also found another hostel resident being given beer by a local off license to sell on to his friends.



e-mail: insideout@bbc.co.uk



Strong brew - Kevin and super strength.

A single 500ml can of these drinks contains four and a half units of alcohol.

This exceeds the Government's daily safe alcohol limit of three to four units for men and two to three units for women.

The super strength lagers being targeted include Tennent's Super, Carlsberg Special Brew, Skol Super and Kestrel Super.

Carlsberg UK told us that "Special Brew" is 70% used by older professionals in management positions, and drunk in moderation.

The large brewers are self-regulated by "The Portman Group", who deal with any complaints about the marketing of alcohol.



Heavy drinkers - huge risks.

Super Strength Alcohol

Current super strength lager sales amount to around £150 million per annum.

The main popular super strength lagers at 9%, all brewed by major drinks companies, include Tennent's Super, Carlsberg Special Brew, Skol Super and Kestrel Super.

Carlsberg Special Brew was the only super strength on the market prior to the 1980s.

Tennent's Super is the

David Poley, its Chief Executive says: "There's no reason really to suppose that these drinks don't have a market amongst responsible adult drinkers.

"Yes, they are also consumed by people with alcohol dependency problems - that does not necessarily mean that they are irresponsibly marketed".

However, the lobbyists have just won a small victory.

Inbev, who manufacture "Tennents Super", have just announced that they are reducing the size of their cans.

In April 2008 InBev UK announced that after

super strength lager most commonly associated with street drinking. In 2001 off licence sales of Tennent's Super in the UK were £44 million. Of the 4,000 individuals that Thames Reach worked with in 2005, 47% were found to have an alcohol misuse problem and around 800 individuals were addicted to super strength lagers and ciders.

Lobbyists say super strength drinks contribute to premature deaths and the phenomenon of 'young-olds' where people exhibit the health problems of people 20-30 years older than their biological age.

Thames Reach's frontline services say they have considerable evidence of the rapid deterioration in health caused by super strength alcohol.

Thames Reach is calling for a new 6% strength ceiling on the alcohol content for canned and bottled super strength lagers and ciders.

Source: Thames Reach

careful consideration they would no longer be producing Tennent's Super in 500ml cans - and they will be moving to 440ml in the first quarter of 2008.

It says, "Tennent's Super is brewed to be enjoyed in moderation. It is the individuals responsibility to monitor the number of units of alcohol that they drink."

The government says work is going on behind the scenes to tackle the binge drinking culture through meetings with the drinks industry.

A report will also be published later in 2008.

A Home Office spokesperson said: "Tackling the culture of harmful and binge drinking is a priority for the government which is why the Home Office is reviewing how the alcohol industry is applying its own social responsibility standards to check that commitments are being fulfilled.

"We will consider regulatory change in future, if necessary".

Jeremy Swain from Thames Reach insists change cannot come soon enough:

"Until we see these drinks taken off the shelves we'll see more and more deaths.

"There's four people in this hostel we're sitting in who are about to die and it's directly because of super strength lager".

The social side effects of super strength are of such concern to Westminster and Ealing Councils

that they have persuaded large supermarkets to remove these drinks from their shelves.

They feel that street drinking schools will no longer congregate when these drinks aren't available.

Super strength fears

In the Commons an Early Day Motion tabled by Battersea MP Martin Linton is calling on the Government to curb the sale of super strength lagers by taxing them at a higher rate.

It has attracted all-party support.

"I would have thought that any self-respecting drinks company would want nothing to do with these drinks," says Jeremy Swain.

But for many heavy drinkers and hostel residents a crackdown on super strength may come too late...


Location of the week - Dulwich Picture Gallery

The Gallery was founded in 1811 through the bequest of a collection of paintings that was left to Dulwich College.

The collection had been put together over some 30 years by Sir Peter Bourgeois, and his mentor, Noel Desenfans, an art historian and dealer.

The founders effectively invented the modern concept of a public art gallery, and surely earned the glory of their Mausoleum.

Dulwich Picture Gallery predates the foundation of the National Gallery by 13 years, and was in fact England's very first public art gallery.



last updated: 02/05/2008 at 15:14 Art treasures - Dulwich Picture
created: 01/05/2008 Gallery.

SEE ALSO
London
Inside Out

You are in: [Inside Out](#) > [London](#) > Super strength alcoholic drinks

[home](#) [email](#) [print](#)

[top](#)


[site contents](#)

[About the BBC](#) | [Help](#) | [Terms of Use](#) | [Privacy & Cookies Policy](#)

the guardian

NOTES&THEORIES


DISPATCHES FROM THE SCIENCE DESK



The price of super-strength lagers should reflect their alcohol content

Heavily discounted super-strength lagers target the most vulnerable people in society, writes **David Nutt**. Setting minimum prices per unit of alcohol would save thousands of lives

© 2011 Guardian News and Media Limited or its affiliated companies. All rights reserved.



Cheap fix: For a few pounds customers can buy enough alcohol to exceed the weekly recommended allowance.

Photograph: Christopher Furlong/Getty Images

Every morning when I get the bus to work I pass a woman sitting in a bus shelter. She looks 50, though is probably 15 years younger. She never gets on the bus. Indeed, she wouldn't be allowed on, as she always has two or three blue cans of Tennent's super-strength lager (9% alcohol by volume) with her – one open – plus her pack of 10 cigarettes. The bus shelter is her refuge for the day, protecting her from the wind and snow.

Both her addictions – the alcohol and the cigarettes – appear to give her solace, but it is the alcohol that will probably kill her. Those three cans cost about £3.50 in total and contain around 13 units of alcohol. Ten years ago she would probably have been

drinking a 4-5% lager or a more expensive alcoholic drink such as wine or spirits.

A quick look at the shelves of any supermarket reveals that it is possible to buy, for a few pounds, enough alcohol to exceed the weekly recommended allowance for men (21 units), let alone women (14 units). This cheap fix comes in the form of lagers such as Tennent's Super, Kestrel Super, Skol Super and Carlsberg Special Brew, which are 8% or more alcohol. White ciders such as White Lightning are routinely 7.5% alcohol.

Is stronger necessarily more harmful? Not if the total amount of alcohol ingested is the same. But since most drinkers drink by the can rather than the pipette, a can with twice as much alcohol as another for the same price will encourage excessive use. Alcohol harm is directly related to the amount consumed, though in a non-linear way. So, for example, people in the UK drink about twice as much alcohol per year on average as people in Sweden drink and experience roughly three times the harms and damage.

Alcohol is toxic, which is why it is used to preserve some foods and clean skin. This toxicity is greatly enhanced because it has to be converted to the poison acetaldehyde before it can be removed from the body. Wherever intake has been reduced, for example by increasing cost or reducing availability, the harms of alcohol to the user have fallen.

What is behind this new trend in super-strength lagers and ciders and why are they so cheap? Apologists for the alcohol industry say that it is simply meeting market demand, failing to mention that before these drinks were made available there was no demand. Just as with the invention of alcopops, one suspects the motive for creating such products is to fuel demand rather than to satiate it. The more alcohol people consume

the more they become dependent on it, so sales rise.

Attempts by the Scottish government to limit alcohol-related harms by pricing by the unit rather than by formulation (for example as lager or wine) have been resisted furiously by the drinks industry, with three separate lobby groups in action in the Scottish parliament. Spokespeople for the industry say that there is no evidence that minimum pricing thresholds will work, but this flies in the face of centuries of practice and evidence. Strong alcohol formulations (spirits) have been taxed more than those of moderate strength (wines), which in turn have been taxed more than the traditionally weaker alcohol formulations, the beers and ciders.

But there is no logic in the current situation where 10% wine is taxed at about 50p per unit of alcohol whereas in a 9% lager the cost per unit is half that, and in strong ciders even less. It has been estimated that increasing the minimum unit cost of all alcoholic drinks to 40p would have little if any economic impact on most social drinkers. In fact it might make them better off by reducing the indirect costs of alcohol misuse. But it would save more than a thousand lives a year by reducing use among the most vulnerable drinkers – the very young and the unemployed – and so reduce their propensity to alcohol addiction and premature death. Pricing alcoholic drinks at a minimum of 50p per unit would save more than 3,000 lives.

The Conservative party vowed to do something about this in their election campaign, but have chickened out with a small increase in tax on strong lagers and ciders that will only marginally reduce the cost differential in comparison with other forms of alcohol.

Minimum pricing per unit is not the only approach. Every sane person must agree that the current pricing of alcoholic drinks, especially when they are used as a loss leader in supermarkets, can be absurd – often making a can of lager cheaper than a bottle of water. What is less obvious is the impact that supermarket sales have on overall drinking by expanding access to alcohol both in terms of geography and availability.

This trend has increased significantly since the licensing laws were relaxed in the 1970s and has in many ways made alcohol a routine, even necessary, commodity rather than a luxury or special item. Moreover drinking at home has become more excessive as it has become cheaper, reducing the chances of social control from peers. The fact that it is also the prime factor in the demise of pubs means that the effects are self-fuelling.

Since 1971, average alcohol consumption per person in the UK has doubled, as the relative price has roughly halved. Alcohol-related harms are steadily rising, with liver disease predicted to surpass heart disease as the main killer within 10 years. Liver disease is the only major physical disease to be increasing in the UK and in some groups the rates of cirrhosis have risen tenfold in the past 30 years, mostly due to increased alcohol intake.

And all this has occurred before the super-strengths became so popular.

Jeremy Swain, chief executive of the homelessness charity Thames Reach sums it up well. "Of course, alcoholism amongst the homeless is hardly new. But what is different is the speed of the deterioration caused by the super-strength drinks. Consuming them is akin to pushing the fast-forward button on your life. The damage is rapid and relentless. The

vital organs, notably the brain and the liver, are quickly damaged beyond repair. The age of death is usually the forties and early fifties."


I wonder, will my bus stop lady live that long?

David Nutt is professor of neuropsychopharmacology at Imperial College London and chair of the *Independent Scientific Committee on Drugs*

[Next](#)

[Previous](#)

[Blog home](#)



Ads by Google

[Make Your Own Pop at Home](#)

[Buy SodaStream Home Drinksmaker. Buy Online, Free Delivery Deal](#)

F. A. O. PC HARRIS

⑪

DEAR SIR,

SORRY TO MISS YOU YESTERDAY, AS I WAS IN LONDON DOING CASH + CARRY. I DIDN'T GET BACK TILL LATE. I COMPLETELY FORGOT TO DROP THIS IN ON MONDAY TO YOU AS WELL.

- AS DISCUSSED I AM ENCLOSING STAFF RECORDS AND TRAINING AS ADVISED BY YOU + PC WARD A FEW WEEKS AGO.

- I AM ENCLOSING A WARNING / INCIDENT LETTER TO YOU AS WELL COMPLETED BY MYSELF. ONLY ON MONDAY. AS ADVISED BY YOUR STEPS.

- REFUSE BOOKS HAVE BEEN SEEN.

- ALSO C.D COPY OF DISMISSING CUSTOMER ON ADVICE.

- WE WOULD LIKE CHALLENGE 25 POSTERS AS WELL SO WE CAN UPDATE THIS AND CONFIRM TO YOUR NEEDS VOLUNTARILY -

- WE ARE WILLING TO DO ANYTHING THAT BOTH POLICE AND COUNCIL NEED US TO DO TO MAKE THINGS BETTER BUT I HAVE ALREADY TOLD YOU MY CONCERN DUE TO NUMBER OF LICENCES IN THIS AREA.

MIKE
LAKHAN

INCIDENT RECORD. 15/10/2011 - 2200 HRS.

- EMPLOYEE RAM KOMAR. -

- CUSTOMER UNDER 18 WANTED TO BUY ALCOHOL.
- RAM HAS REFUSED SALE. ANOTHER CUSTOMER HAS
BOUGHT THIS FOR HER. HE HAD REFUSED HER BUT HE
CAME IN + BOUGHT FOR HER BECAUSE IT WAS VERY
UNFORTUNATE THAT SOMEONE HAD BOUGHT FOR HER.

- THIS WAS A TEST PURCHASED BY POLICE
- RAM IS WARNING BY POLICE SERGEANT VAN MARSH
AT 22-20 HRS.

- HE IS SPOKEN TO BY MYSELF (LICENCEE) + WARNING
VERBALLY. ONLY TODAY HAS HE BEEN GIVEN THIS
WRITTEN WARNING 14/11/2011.

- I HAVE SAID THAT HE IS ON A FIRST WARNING IN
HIS JOB AND HE HAS SAID HE WILL BE EVEN MORE
CAREFUL IN FUTURE.

LICENCEE.

14/11/11

RAM

(13)

EAST STREET SUPERMARKET – LICENSING TEST:

PLEASE READ ALL QUESTIONS AND ANSWER AS APPROPRIATE.

- 1) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY ALCOHOL. - 18
- 2) WHAT ARE THE PERMITTED HOURS FOR OFF LICENCE SALES? –

M-SAT 8.00 AM – 11.00 PM

SUN 10.00 AM – 10.30 PM

XMAS DAY 12.00 – 3.00 PM – 7.00 PM – 10.30 PM

GOOD FRIDAY 10.00 AM – 10.30 PM

- 3) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO WORK IN THE OFF LICENCE? 18
- 4) IF YOU ARE LESS THAN 18 CAN YOU SELL ALCOHOL? YES ONLY WITH SUPERVISOR
- 5) WHO ARE THE THREE TYPES OF PEOPLE YOU CANNOT SELL ALCOHOL TO? UNDER 18, POLICE IN UNIFORM, DRUNK

6) IF YOU SELL LIQUOR TO A PERSON WHO IS LESS THAN 18 WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SALE THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE?

THE SELLER AND LICENSE HOLDER

7) WHAT ARE THE THREE TYPES OF ID THAT CAN BE USED?

PASSPORT, DRIVING LICENSE WITH PHOTO CARD, PASS ID CARD (PORTMAN)

8) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY TOBACCO AND SOLVENTS? 18

9) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY THE LOTTERY? 16

10) THE STORES CURRENT POLICY IS CHALLENGE 21. WHAT DOES THIS MEAN AND WHY DO WE USE IT?

WE LOOK IF THE CUSTOMER LOOK UNDER 21 WE ASK ID, MY MANAGER SAID ALWAYS ASK ID TO BE MAKE SURE NOT TO SELL UNDER 18.

11) WHAT ARE THE PENALTIES TO EMPLOYEE FOR SALE TO A MINOR? £80 FINE, I FACE COURT

12) WHAT MEASURES SHOULD BE TAKEN SO THAT NO SALE IS MADE TO MINOR?

ALWAYS CHECK ID USING CHALLENGE 21 POLICY

13) CAN ANYONE BUY ALCOHOL ON ANYONE ELSE'S BEHALF? NO

14) WHAT DO YOU DO WHEN YOU REFUSE ALCOHOL? I WRITE THE TIME AND REASON AND DATE ON REFUSAL LOG BOOK.

PLEASE CONFIRM

I HAVE READ THE NATIONAL LICENCEES HANDBOOK. I HAVE UNDERSTOOD MY MANAGERS INSTRUCTIONS AND ALL ANSWERED ALL THE QUESTIONS ABOVE. I WILL ABIDE TO THE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO WORK. I UNDERSTAND ANY FAILURE IN COMPLYING WITH THE REGULATIONS COULD RESULT IN A FINE BY THE LICENCING AUTHORITY AND ALSO DISCIPLINARY FROM MY JOB.

NAME OF EMPLOYEE: RAM KUMAR

DATE TEST TAKEN 04/11/11

DATE OF BIRTH: 16.11.1982

NEXT REVIEW AND REFRESHER TEST: 04/01/12

EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE:

MANAGERS SIGNATURE:

RAM

ST MARYS SUPERMARKET – LICENSING TEST:

PLEASE READ ALL QUESTIONS AND ANSWER AS APPROPRIATE.

- 1) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY ALCOHOL. - 18
- 2) WHAT ARE THE PERMITTED HOURS FOR OFF LICENCE SALES? –

M - SAT 8.00 - 11.00 (AM - PM)
SUN 10.00 - 10.30 (AM - PM)
XMAS DAY 12.00 - 3.00 7.00 - 10.30 (PM - PM)
GOOD FRIDAY 10.00 - 10.30 (AM - PM)

- 3) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO WORK IN THE OFF LICENCE? 18
- 4) IF YOU ARE LESS THAN 18 CAN YOU SELL ALCOHOL? YES ONLY WITH SUPERVISOR
- 5) WHO ARE THE THREE TYPES OF PEOPLE YOU CANNOT SELL ALCOHOL TO? UNDER 18, POLICE IN UNIFORM, DRUNK

6) IF YOU SELL LIQUOR TO A PERSON WHO IS LESS THAN 18 WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SALE THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE?

THE SELLER AND LICENCE HOLDER

7) WHAT ARE THE THREE TYPES OF ID THAT CAN BE USED?

PASSPORT, DRIVING LICENCE, PASS ID CARD (PORTMAN)
WITH PHOTO CARD

8) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY TOBACCO AND SOLVENTS? 18

9) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY THE LOTTERY? 16

10) THE STORES CURRENT POLICY IS CHALLENGE 21. WHAT DOES THIS MEAN AND WHY DO WE USE IT?

WE LOOK IF THE CUSTOMER ^{LOOK} UNDER 21 WE ASK ID. ANYWAY MY MANAGER SAID I HAVE TO ASK ID TO BE MAKE SURE NOT TO SELL UNDER 18

11) WHAT ARE THE PENALTIES TO EMPLOYEE FOR SALE TO A MINOR? £50 FINE and 1 PACE COE

12) WHAT MEASURES SHOULD BE TAKEN SO THAT NO SALE IS MADE TO MINOR?

ALWAYS CHECK ID USING CHALLENGE 21 POLICY

13) CAN ANYONE BUY ALCOHOL ON ANYONE ELSE'S BEHALF? NO

14) WHAT DO YOU DO WHEN YOU REFUSE ALCOHOL? I WRITE the timing and reason and date on refusal log book.

PLEASE CONFIRM

I HAVE READ THE NATIONAL LICENCEES HANDBOOK. I HAVE UNDERSTOOD MY MANAGERS INSTRUCTIONS AND ALL ANSWERED ALL THE QUESTIONS ABOVE. I WILL ABIDE TO THE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO WORK. I UNDERSTAND ANY FAILURE IN COMPLYING WITH THE REGULATIONS COULD RESULT IN A FINE BY THE LICENCING AUTHORITY AND ALSO DISCIPLINARY FROM MY JOB.

NAME OF EMPLOYEE: RAM KUMAR

DATE TEST TAKEN 04/11/2011

DATE OF BIRTH: 16/11/1982

NEXT REVIEW AND REFRESHER TEST: 04/01/2012

EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE:

MANAGERS SIGNATURE:

EAST STREET SUPERMARKET - LICENSING TEST:

PLEASE READ ALL QUESTIONS AND ANSWER AS APPROPRIATE.

- 1) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY ALCOHOL. - 18 -
- 2) WHAT ARE THE PERMITTED HOURS FOR OFF LICENCE SALES? -

M - SAT 8.00 AM TO 11.00 PM.
SUN 10.00 AM TO 10.30 PM.
XMAS DAY 12.00 PM - 3.00 PM - 7.00 TO 10.30 PM.
GOOD FRIDAY 10.00 - 10.30.

- 3) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO WORK IN THE OFF LICENCE? 18.
- 4) IF YOU ARE LESS THAN 18 CAN YOU SELL ALCOHOL? YES ONLY WITH SUPERVISOR
- 5) WHO ARE THE THREE TYPES OF PEOPLE YOU CANNOT SELL ALCOHOL TO? UNDER 18, DRUNKS, POLICE IN UNIFORM

6) IF YOU SELL LIQUOR TO A PERSON WHO IS LESS THAN 18 WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SALE THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE?

THE SELLER AND LICENSED HOLDER.

7) WHAT ARE THE THREE TYPES OF ID THAT CAN BE USED?

A) PASSPORT B) DRIVING LICENSE WITH PHOTO, C) PASS ID CARD HOLDER (POSTMAN)

8) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY TOBACCO AND SOLVENTS? 18 -

9) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY THE LOTTERY? 16 -

10) THE STORES CURRENT POLICY IS CHALLENGE 21. WHAT DOES THIS MEAN AND WHY DO WE USE IT?

WE LOOK CUSTOMER LOOK - 21. UNDER 21. WE ASK ID. WE ALWAYS ASK ID. BECAUSE MY MANAGER SAID. ITS GOOD. ASK ID.

11) WHAT ARE THE PENALTIES TO EMPLOYEE FOR SALE TO A MINOR? £80.00 FINE AND

12) WHAT MEASURES SHOULD BE TAKEN SO THAT NO SALE IS MADE TO MINOR? ASK COURT,

ALWAYS CHECK ID USING CHALLENGE 21 - POLICY.

13) CAN ANYONE BUY ALCOHOL ON ANYONE ELSE'S BEHALF? NO -

14) WHAT DO YOU DO WHEN YOU REFUSE ALCOHOL?

A) I WILL WRITE TIME AND DATE AND REASON AND REFUSE BOOK.

PLEASE CONFIRM

I HAVE READ THE NATIONAL LICENCEES HANDBOOK. I HAVE UNDERSTOOD MY MANAGERS INSTRUCTIONS AND ALL ANSWERED ALL THE QUESTIONS ABOVE. I WILL ABIDE TO THE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO WORK. I UNDERSTAND ANY FAILURE IN COMPLYING WITH THE REGULATIONS COULD RESULT IN A FINE BY THE LICENCING AUTHORITY AND ALSO DISCIPLINARY FROM MY JOB.

NAME OF EMPLOYEE: PRASNA KUMAR,

DATE TEST TAKEN 04/11/11

DATE OF BIRTH: -15-05-1977-

NEXT REVIEW AND REFRESHER TEST: 04/01/12

EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE:

MANAGERS SIGNATURE:

ST. MARYS SUPERMARKET – LICENSING TEST:

PLEASE READ ALL QUESTIONS AND ANSWER AS APPROPRIATE.

- 1) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY ALCOHOL. – 18.
- 2) WHAT ARE THE PERMITTED HOURS FOR OFF LICENCE SALES? –

M - SAT – 8.00 AM To 11 P.M.

SUN – 10.00 AM To 10.30 P.M.

XMAS DAY – 12.00 PM - 3.00. 7.00 TO - 10.30 P.M.

GOOD FRIDAY – 10.00 - 10.30.

- 3) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO WORK IN THE OFF LICENCE? – 18.
- 4) IF YOU ARE LESS THAN 18 CAN YOU SELL ALCOHOL? – YES ONLY WITH SUPERVISOR.
- 5) WHO ARE THE THREE TYPES OF PEOPLE YOU CANNOT SELL ALCOHOL TO? – UNDER 18, DRUNK, POLICE IN UNIFORM.

6) IF YOU SELL LIQUOR TO A PERSON WHO IS LESS THAN 18 WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SALE THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE?

THE SELLER AND LICENCE HOLDER.

7) WHAT ARE THE THREE TYPES OF ID THAT CAN BE USED?

A) PASSPORT, B) DRIVING LICENCE WITH PHOTO, C) PASS ID CARD, (PORTMAN)

8) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY TOBACCO AND SOLVENTS? – 18 –

9) HOW OLD MUST YOU BE TO BUY THE LOTTERY? – 16 –

10) THE STORES CURRENT POLICY IS CHALLENGE 21. WHAT DOES THIS MEAN AND WHY DO WE USE IT?

WE LOOK. CUSTOMER. LOOK. 21. UNDER 21 WE ASK ID.

WE ALWAYS ASK ID. BECAUSE AS MY MANGRA SAY. IT'S GOOD. ASK ID.

11) WHAT ARE THE PENALTIES TO EMPLOYEE FOR SALE TO A MINOR? – £80.00 FINE AND FACB COURT.

12) WHAT MEASURES SHOULD BE TAKEN SO THAT NO SALE IS MADE TO MINOR?

ALWAYS CHECK ID USING CHALLENGING 21 POLICY.

13) CAN ANYONE BUY ALCOHOL ON ANYONE ELSE'S BEHALF? – NO –

14) WHAT DO YOU DO WHEN YOU REFUSE ALCOHOL?

A) I WILL WRITE TIME & DATE AND REASON, and REFUSAL BOOK.

PLEASE CONFIRM

I HAVE READ THE NATIONAL LICENCEES HANDBOOK. I HAVE UNDERSTOOD MY MANAGERS INSTRUCTIONS AND ALL ANSWERED ALL THE QUESTIONS ABOVE. I WILL ABIDE TO THE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO WORK. I UNDERSTAND ANY FAILURE IN COMPLYING WITH THE REGULATIONS COULD RESULT IN A FINE BY THE LICENCING AUTHORITY AND ALSO DISCIPLINARY FROM MY JOB.

NAME OF EMPLOYEE: V. PRASNA KUMAR.

DATE TEST TAKEN 04/11/11

DATE OF BIRTH: 15-05-1987.

NEXT REVIEW AND REFRESHER TEST: 04/11/12

EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE:

MANAGERS SIGNATURE:

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r 27. 2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s. 9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B

Statement of: Keith Burgess

URN

Age if under 18: OVER 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation: Police Officer

This statement (consisting of 1 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it, anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature:

(witness) Date: 19th November 2011

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded

☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am Pc 22966 Burgess and I am currently based at Southampton Central Police Station within the Safer Neighbourhood Team for the Newtown.

On Friday 18th November 2011 at approximately 23.00 hours, I was on duty and in plain clothes when I visited 'Premier' shop which is located on St.Mary's Road in the Newtown area of Southampton.

Whilst I was in the shop, I was stood at the counter when a white male with a bald head and large build approached the counter with four cans of lager. The member of staff said to the customer or words to the effect of; "TECHNICALLY WE ARE ONLY SUPPOSED TO SELL ALCOHOL UNTIL ELEVEN, BUT AS YOU ARE HERE THAT'S FINE" I looked at my wrist watch and it said 23.06 hours. I can confirm that my wrist watch is three minutes ahead of GMT and therefore, this would have made the exact time 23.03 hours.

I went outside the shop and stood outside for a further five minutes. Within that time I saw another male leave the shop in possession of alcohol. I can confirm that whilst I was in the shop, it was only I and the bald male inside the shop (a part from two members of staff) at the time and therefore this other customer, must have entered the shop after I left the premises.

I can confirm that I saw the last customer leave between 23.06 and 23.08 hours.

I can confirm that I am a white male aged 36 years old, medium build and I am 5'7" tall. I was wearing a grey beanie hat, a black medium length jacket with a grey hoody underneath, blue jeans and white trainers.

Sig

nature witnessed by:

2010/11

te)