
SOUTHAMPTON CITY COUNCIL
LICENSING (GENERAL) SUB-COMMITTEE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 17 AUGUST 2020

Present: Councillors B Harris, G Galton, Kataria, Laurent, Noon and Spicer

Apologies: Councillor McEwing

1. **APOLOGIES AND CHANGES IN MEMBERSHIP (IF ANY)**

The apologies of Councillor McEwing were noted. Changes in membership were noted as a result of the most recent Cabinet meeting, which confirmed that Cllr Bunday, had been appointed to the Licensing (General) sub-committee Labour Vacancy. In the absence of the Chair, the meeting was chaired by Councillor G Galton.

2. **EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC - LEGAL ADVICE**

RESOLVED that the Sub-Committee move into private session in order to receive legal advice when determining issues. The parties to the hearing, press and the public, unless otherwise excluded by Category 4 of paragraph 10.4 of the Council's Access to Information Procedure Rules, would be invited to return immediately following that private session at which time the matter would be determined and the decision of the Sub-Committee announced.

3. **APPLICATION FOR VARIATION OF A SEXUAL ENTERTAINMENT VENUE (SEV) LICENCE - FOR YOUR EYES ONLY, 135 - 136 HIGH STREET, SOUTHAMPTON SO14 2BR**

The Sub-Committee has considered the application for the variation of the Sexual Entertainment Venue licence at For Your Eyes Only 135-136 High Street, Southampton in detail.

It has given due regard to the provisions under the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982.

It has considered very carefully the application submitted by the applicant and report submitted by the Executive Director Communities Culture & Homes. The Sub-Committee also gave due regard to the answers provided to questions at the hearing.

Having considered all the above matters the Sub-Committee has decided that the application for variation should be granted on the basis of the application as made. That means that the license will now incorporate the varied conditions as set out in the application.

REASON FOR DECISION

There was no compelling evidence presented to the Sub-Committee that would justify refusal of the application. It was noted that there were no objections to the application and that the police had agreed the variation.

There is a right of appeal full details of which will be provided in the written decision.