



TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 28 th July 2023	Surveyor: GCB
Tree details	
TPO Ref: T2-776	Tree/Group No: Species: 2 Norway maple & 2 silver birch
Owner (if known):	Location: Grenville Court – Old Farm Drive
The Southampton (Grenville Court) Tree Preservation Order 2023	

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes = 5

Norway maples have been managed by cyclical pollarding and silver birch have been reduced in size several years ago.

* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10* | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes = 4

Silver birch will have a lesser longevity due to short lived species. The Norway maples are expected to have a longer longevity.

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only | Just suitable |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes = 4

Medium trees clearly visible from a public area.

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

Score & Notes = 1

Alleged structural damage to property, However, without evidence a reduction in the score was not applied.

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify.

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s211 Notice
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only.

Score & Notes = 2

Resident has asked if trees are protected. Alleged damage to property would indicate a potential to fell. Higher grade of 3 may also have been considered appropriate use.

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-11 | Does not merit TPO |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible |
| 16+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:

16

Decision:

Tree preservation order defensible and trees merit protection