DECISION-MAKER:	HEALTH OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL			
SUBJECT:	PUBLIC AND SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT PROVISION TO KEY HEALTH DELIVERY SITES			
DATE OF DECISION:	29 NOVEMBER 2012			
REPORT OF:	SENIOR MANAGER CUSTOMER AND BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT			
STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY				
None				

BRIEF SUMMARY

This paper provides background and seeks agreement of Members to undertake a mini review on public and sustainable transport to key health delivery sites in the City.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(i) to agree to undertake a mini review into Public and Sustainable Transport Provision to Key Health Delivery Sites.

REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

1. To allow members to decide if they wish to undertake the suggested review and receive a background presentation.

DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)

- 2. Councillor Thorpe, Cabinet Member for Environment and Transport, requested that the Health Overview and Scrutiny Meeting Panel conduct an investigation into travel access issues to the General Hospital. His particular concerns centred on bus access to the hospital, the effect of reductions in subsidies to evening bus services and opportunities to improve access.
- 3. Following discussion with the Chair of the Panel, the Council's Transport Department and the SHIP Cluster the review proposal at Appendix 1 was developed. The scope of the review covers 5 key strategic health delivery site across the City and all modes of public and sustainable transport. While it was felt that focusing only on the General Hospital would be too narrow, it was also recognised that there is not the capacity to consider all health delivery sites in the City.
- 4. A decision was also taken to exclude car travel and car parking charges from the review as this would have extended the scope well beyond manageable proportions in the time available. However need for a background knowledge of these issues is recognised.
- 5. Given the current workload of the panel it is suggested to consider this issue across four meetings with one of these being a dedicated meeting to gather evidence. This will require the panel to commit to an additional meeting in February 2013.

6. The Panel are invited to receive a background presentation on the current position from officers from the Council's Travel and Transport Team.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

7. None

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Capital/Revenue

8. None

Property/Other

9. None

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Statutory Power to undertake the proposals in the report:

10. The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Section 21 of the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.

Other Legal Implications:

11. None.

POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS

12. None.

AUTHOR:	Name:	Caronwen Rees	Tel:	023 80832524
	E-mail:	Caronwen.rees@southampton.gov.uk		

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Non-confidential appendices are in the Members' Rooms and can be accessed on-line

Appendices

1.	HOSP Mini Review Proposal	
----	---------------------------	--

Documents In Members' Rooms

1. None

Integrated Impact Assessment

Do the implications/subject/recommendations in the report require an Integrated Impact Assessment to be carried out.

No

Other Background Documents

Title of Background Paper(s)

Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)

	None	
--	------	--

Integrated Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at:

WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED:	All
-----------------------------	-----